

## Supplementary Material

for “Threshold Spatial Panel Regression with Fixed Effects”

The **Supplementary Material** contains detailed proofs of Lemmas B.1–B.4 and additional Monte Carlo simulation results covering the cases of fixed-threshold-effects, nonzero threshold parameter, and a model with spatial error dependence.

### C.1. Proofs of Lemmas B.1–B.4

Lemma C.1 and Lemma C.2 are first established to help prove the main results.

**Lemma C.1.** *There is a  $c_1 < \infty$  such that for  $\underline{\gamma} \leq \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \bar{\gamma}$  and  $1 \leq r \leq 4$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \mathbb{E}h_{it}^r(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq c_1|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|, & (ii) \quad & \mathbb{E}f_{it}^r(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq c_1|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|, \\ (iii) \quad & \mathbb{E}k_{it}^r(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq c_1|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|, & (iv) \quad & \mathbb{E}l_{it}^r(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq c_1|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where, } \quad & h_{it}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \|h_{it}\| |d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)|, & f_{it}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= \|h_{it}v_{it}\| |d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)|, \\ & k_{it}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = |v_{it}^2 - \sigma_0^2| |g_{ii,t}| |d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)|, & l_{it}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= |v_{it}| \left| \sum_{j \neq i}^n |g_{ij,t}| |v_{jt}| \right| |d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** We only show (i), as the others can be shown similarly. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[Zd_{it}(\gamma)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(Z|q_{it})d_{it}(\gamma)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} \mathbb{E}(Z|q_{it})dF(q_{it})$$

for any random variable  $Z$ , where  $F(\cdot)$  denotes the CDF of  $q_{it}$ . Hence,  $\frac{d}{d\gamma}\mathbb{E}[Zd_{it}(\gamma)] = \mathbb{E}(Z|q_{it} = \gamma)f(\gamma)$ . Thus by the Jensen inequality and Assumption B(iii), one has

$$\frac{d}{d\gamma}\mathbb{E}(\|h_{it}\|^r d_{it}(\gamma)) = \mathbb{E}(\|h_{it}\|^r |q_{it} = \gamma)f(\gamma) \leq [\mathbb{E}(\|h_{it}\|^4 |q_{it} = \gamma)]^{r/4} f(\gamma) \leq c^{1+r/4},$$

by Assumption B (iii). Since  $d_{jt}(\gamma_2) - d_{jt}(\gamma_1)$  equals either zero or one,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|h_{it}\|^r |d_{it}(\gamma_2) - d_{jt}(\gamma_1)|] = \mathbb{E}[\|h_{it}\|^r d_{it}(\gamma_2)] - \mathbb{E}[\|h_{it}\|^r d_{it}(\gamma_1)] \leq c_1|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|,$$

for some  $c_1 < \infty$ , by a first-order Taylor series expansion, establishing (i). Assume this  $c_1$  is large enough so that results (ii)-(iv) also hold.

**Lemma C.2.** *There is a  $c_2 < \infty$  such that for all  $\underline{\gamma} \leq \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \bar{\gamma}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T [h_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - \mathbb{E}h_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)]\right|^2 \leq c_2|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T [f_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - \mathbb{E}f_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)]\right|^2 \leq c_2|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T [k_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - \mathbb{E}k_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)]\right|^2 \leq c_2|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T [l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - \mathbb{E}l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)]\right|^2 \leq c_2|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

**Proof:** We only show (C.4) when  $r = 2$ , as the proofs of the others are similar and less difficult, using Lemma C.1. As  $l_{it}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  are independent across  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T [l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - \mathbb{E}l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)] \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - \mathbb{E}l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)] \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \{ \mathbb{E}[l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)l_{jt}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)] - \mathbb{E}l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\mathbb{E}l_{jt}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \} \\
&= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \mathbb{E}l_{it}^4(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - [\mathbb{E}l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)]^2 \} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n \{ \mathbb{E}[l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)l_{jt}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)] - \mathbb{E}l_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\mathbb{E}l_{jt}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \} \\
&\equiv I_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + I_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that  $I_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[l_{it}^4(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)] \leq 2c_1|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= \frac{l_0^4}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n \sum_{l \neq i}^n \sum_{k \neq i}^n \sum_{m \neq j}^n \sum_{p \neq j}^n \left\{ \mathbb{E}(|g_{il,t}| |g_{ik,t}| |g_{jm,t}| |g_{jp,t}|) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \mathbb{E}|d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| \mathbb{E}|d_{jt}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| [\mathbb{E}(|v_{it}^2| |v_{it}^2| |v_{kt}^2| |v_{jt}^2| |v_{mt}^2| |v_{pt}^2|)] - \mathbb{E}(|v_{it}^2| |v_{it}^2| |v_{kt}^2|) \mathbb{E}(|v_{jt}^2| |v_{mt}^2| |v_{pt}^2|)] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the term with the highest order in error term, i.e.,  $l = k = m = p$ , as the analyses of other terms are similar and less difficult. This term equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{l_0^4}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n \sum_{l \neq i, j}^n \mathbb{E}(|g_{il,t}|^2 |g_{jl,t}|^2) \mathbb{E}(|d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| |d_{jt}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)|) \mathbb{E}|v_{it}^2| \mathbb{E}|v_{jt}^2| [\mathbb{E}|v_{it}^8| - (\mathbb{E}|v_{it}^4|)^2] \\
&\leq \frac{l_0^4}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(\sum_{l=1}^n |g_{il,t}|^2)(\sum_{j=1}^n |g_{jl,t}|^2)] \mathbb{E}|d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| \mathbb{E}|v_{it}^2| \mathbb{E}|v_{jt}^2| \mathbb{E}|v_{it}^8| \leq c|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|,
\end{aligned}$$

for some  $c < \infty$ , as  $\mathbb{E}(|d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| |d_{jt}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)|) \leq \mathbb{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}|d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| \mathbb{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}|d_{jt}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| = \mathbb{E}|d_{it}(\gamma_2, \gamma_1)| \leq c_1|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|$  based on (i) of Lemma C.1. Let  $c$  be large enough, and hence we can similarly show all the other non-zero terms in  $I_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  are also bounded by  $c|\gamma_2 - \gamma_1|$ . Thus, the desired result follows.

**Proof of Lemma B.1:** Firstly, we define  $J_{1,nT}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{t=1}^T H_t' d_t(\gamma) V_t$  and  $J_{2,nT}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{t=1}^T [V_t' d_t(\gamma) G_t V_t - \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(d_t(\gamma) G_t)]$ . As the analysis of  $\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma)$  is tedious but follows the similar arguments to that of  $J_{s,nT}(\gamma)$  for  $s = 1, 2$ , we show the uniform convergences of  $J_{s,nT}(\gamma)$  instead. Lemma C.1 implies that  $\mathbb{E}[||h_{it}||^4 d_{it}(\gamma)] < \infty$  for each  $\gamma$ . Meanwhile, it is easy to see that  $\{d_t(\gamma) G_t\}$  are matrices with bounded row and column sum norms by Lemma A.1. Hence,  $J_{1,nT}(\gamma)$  and  $J_{2,nT}(\gamma)$  both converge pointwise to a Gaussian distribution by the central limit theorem (CLT) in Lemma A.3. This can be extended to any finite collection of  $\gamma$  to yield the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions.

Thus, it is left to establish the tightness of  $J_{s,nT}(\gamma)$  for  $s = 1, 2$ . We show  $J_{1,nT}(\gamma)$  by verifying the conditions for Theorem 15.5 of Billingsley (1968). In the following, we claim that there are finite constants  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  such that for all  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $\varphi \geq (nT)^{-1}$ , if

$$\sqrt{nT} \geq c_4/\eta,$$

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma_1 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1 + \varphi} |J_{s,nT}(\gamma) - J_{s,nT}(\gamma_1)| > \eta\right) \leq c_3 \varphi^2 \eta^{-4},$$

Now suppose the above results are true for  $s = 1, 2$ . Then, fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , and let  $\varphi = \epsilon \eta^4 / c_3$ . The above results imply there is a large enough  $nT$  such that for any  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ ,

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma_1 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1 + \varphi} |J_{s,nT}(\gamma) - J_{s,nT}(\gamma_1)| > \eta\right) \leq c_3 \varphi^2 \eta^{-4} = \varphi \epsilon,$$

establishing the conditions for Theorem 15.5 of Billingsley (1968).

Since  $\varphi \geq (nT)^{-1}$ , we can let  $m$  be an integer satisfying  $nT\varphi/2 \leq m \leq nT\varphi$ . Set  $\varphi_m = \varphi/m$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, m+1$ , set  $\gamma_k = \gamma_1 + (k-1)\varphi_m$ ,  $f_{it,k} = f_{it}(\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1})$ , and  $f_{it,jk} = f_{it}(\gamma_k, \gamma_j)$ . We let  $F_{nT,k} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T f_{it,k}$ , and thus for  $\gamma_k \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{k+1}$ ,

$$|J_{1,nT}(\gamma) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_1)| \leq \sqrt{nT} F_{nT,k} \leq \sqrt{nT} |F_{nT,k} - \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k}| + \sqrt{nT} \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\gamma_1 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1 + \varphi} |J_{1,nT}(\gamma) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_1)| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sup_{\gamma_k \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{k+1}} |J_{1,nT}(\gamma_k) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_1) + J_{1,nT}(\gamma) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_k)| \\ & \leq \max_{2 \leq k \leq m+1} |J_{1,nT}(\gamma_k) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_1)| + \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sqrt{nT} |F_{nT,k} - \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k}| + \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sqrt{nT} \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

In the following analysis, we consider bounding each term of the above equation to show the final result. For any  $1 \leq j < k \leq m+1$ , by the Burkholder's inequality (see (Hall and Heyde, 1980, p.23)) for some constant  $\bar{c}_1 < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|J_{1,nT}(\gamma_k) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_j)|^4 & \leq \bar{c}_1 \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T f_{it,jk}^2 \right|^2 \\ & = \bar{c}_1 \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T (f_{it,jk}^2 - \mathbb{E}f_{it,jk}^2) + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}f_{it,jk}^2 \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, (iv) of Lemma C.1 and (C.4), the above expression is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{c}_1 \left[ \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T (f_{it,jk}^2 - \mathbb{E}f_{it,jk}^2) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} + c_1(k-j)\varphi_m \right]^2 \\ & \leq \bar{c}_1 \left[ \left( \frac{c_2(k-j)\varphi_m}{nT} \right)^{1/2} + c_1(k-j)\varphi_m \right]^2 \leq \bar{c}_1 (c_1 + \sqrt{c_2})^2 (k-j)^2 \varphi_m^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $(nT)^{-1} \leq \varphi_m$  and  $(k-j)^{1/2} \leq (k-j)$ . Given the above result, Theorem 12.2 of Billingsley (1968, p. 94) implies that there is a finite constant  $\bar{c}_2$  such that

$$P\left(\max_{2 \leq k \leq m+1} |J_{1,nT}(\gamma_k) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_1)| > \eta/3\right) \leq 81\bar{c}_2 (m\varphi_m)^2 \eta^{-4} = 81\bar{c}_2 \varphi^2 \eta^{-4}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

which bounds the first term of (C.5).

Next, we consider the second term of (C.5). By Lemma C.1, Lemma C.2 and  $(nT)^{-1} \leq \varphi_m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\sqrt{nT}(F_{nT,k} - \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k})|^4 &= \mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T (f_{it,k} - \mathbb{E}f_{it,k})\right|^4 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(nT)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}f_{it,k}^4 + 3\left[\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}f_{it,k}^2\right]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nT} c_1 \varphi_m + 3c_1^2 \varphi_m^2 \leq (c_1 + 3c_1^2) \varphi_m^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Markov's inequality, the above inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sqrt{nT}|F_{nT,k} - \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k}| > \eta/3\right) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m P\left(\sqrt{nT}|F_{nT,k} - \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k}| > \eta/3\right) \\ &\leq 81m(c_1 + 3c_1^2) \varphi_m^2 \eta^{-4} \leq 81(c_1 + 3c_1^2) \varphi^2 \eta^{-4}, \end{aligned}$$

where the final equality uses  $m\varphi_m = \varphi$  and  $\varphi_m \leq \varphi$ .

Finally, we consider the last term of (C.5). By (iv) of Lemma C.1 and  $\varphi_m \leq \frac{2}{nT}$ ,

$$\sqrt{nT} \mathbb{E}F_{nT,k} = \sqrt{nT} \mathbb{E}f_{it,k} \leq \sqrt{nT} c_1 \varphi_m \leq 2c_1 (nT)^{-1/2}.$$

Aggregating the above results for the three terms of (C.5), we have if  $2c_1 (nT)^{-1/2} \leq \eta/3$ ,

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma_1 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1 + \varphi} |J_{1,nT}(\gamma) - J_{1,nT}(\gamma_1)| > \eta\right) \leq 81(\bar{c}_2 + c_1 + 3c_1^2) \varphi^2 \eta^{-4}. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

By setting  $c_3 = 81(\bar{c}_2 + c_1 + 3c_1^2)$  and  $c_4 = 6c_1$ , we achieve the desired result.

The proof on the tightness of  $J_{2,nT}(\gamma)$  follows the same reasoning as that of result (a) in Lemma A.8 of Li and Lin (2024). As the details are analogous, they are omitted here for brevity. Finally, the derivation of their asymptotic variances follows Lemma B.5 of Yang (2015) for each  $\gamma$ . This concludes the proof of Lemma B.1.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Lemma B.2:** We show the result for  $\mathcal{F}_{nT}(v)$ , as the other two can be shown similarly. For notation simplicity, let  $m_{it} = \delta'_0 h_{it}$  and  $m_{it}(v) = \delta'_0 h_{it} d_{it}(\gamma_0, \gamma_{nT})$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{nT}(v) &= \frac{a_{nT}}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T m_{it}^2(v) - \frac{a_{nT}}{nT^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T m_{it}(v) m_{ik}(v) \\ &\quad - \frac{a_{nT}}{n^2 T} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T m_{it}(v) m_{jt}(v) + \frac{a_{nT}}{n^2 T^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T m_{it}(v) m_{jk}(v) \\ &\equiv \sum_{s=1}^4 \mathcal{F}_{s,nT}(v). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Consider the case where  $v$  is positive first. Observe that since  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_{nT} \rightarrow \gamma_0$ ,

$$a_{nT} P(\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1) = v \frac{P(q_{it} \leq \gamma_1) - P(q_{it} \leq \gamma_0)}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_0} \rightarrow f|v| \quad (\text{C.9})$$

as sample size increases. Symmetrically, we can show that  $a_{nT} P(\gamma_1 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_0) \rightarrow f|v|$ , when  $v$  is negative. In the following argument, we only consider the case where  $v$  is positive, as the

negative case can be studied symmetrically. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\mathcal{F}_{1,nT}(v) &= \frac{a_{nT}}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[m_{it}^2 \mathbb{1}\{\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(m_{it}^2 | \gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1) a_{nT} P(\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(m_{it}^2 | q_{it} = \gamma_0) f|v| = \delta'_0 M \delta_0 f|v|.\end{aligned}$$

Besides, by (C.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}_{1,nT}(v) - \mathbb{E}\mathcal{F}_{1,nT}(v)|^2 &\leq \frac{a_{nT}^2}{nT} \|\delta_0\|^4 \mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T [h_{it}^2(\gamma_0, \gamma_1) - \mathbb{E}h_{it}^2(\gamma_0, \gamma_1)]\right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{a_{nT}}{nT} \|\delta_0\|^4 c_2 |v| = o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Markov's inequality implies that  $\mathcal{F}_{1,nT}(v) - \delta'_0 M \delta_0 f|v| \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

We next consider the second term of (C.8). By (C.9), for  $i \neq j$  or  $t \neq k$ ,  $a_{nT} P(\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1, \gamma_0 < q_{jk} \leq \gamma_1) = a_{nT} P(\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1) P(\gamma_0 < q_{jk} \leq \gamma_1) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\mathcal{F}_{2,nT}(v) &= \frac{a_{nT}}{nT^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[m_{it} m_{ik} \mathbb{1}\{\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1\} \mathbb{1}\{\gamma_0 < q_{ik} \leq \gamma_1\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{nT^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(m_{it} m_{ik} | \gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1, \gamma_0 < q_{ik} \leq \gamma_1) a_{nT} P(\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1, \gamma_0 < q_{ik} \leq \gamma_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{nT^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(m_{it}^2 | \gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1) a_{nT} P(\gamma_0 < q_{it} \leq \gamma_1) + o_p(1) \rightarrow \frac{1}{T} \delta'_0 M \delta_0 f|v|.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}_{2,nT}(v) - \mathbb{E}\mathcal{F}_{2,nT}(v)|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\mathcal{F}_{2,nT}^2(v) = \frac{a_{nT}^2}{n^2 T^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}m_{it}^4(v) + o_p(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, the Markov's inequality implies  $\mathcal{F}_{2,nT}(v) - \frac{1}{T} \delta'_0 M \delta_0 f|v| \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{a_{nT}}{n^2 T} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T m_{it}(v) m_{jt}(v) = \frac{1}{n} \delta'_0 M \delta_0 f|v| + o_p(1) \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

and

$$\frac{a_{nT}}{n^2 T^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^T \sum_{t=1}^T m_{it}(v) m_{jk}(v) = \frac{1}{nT} \delta'_0 M \delta_0 f|v| + o_p(1) \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}_{nT}(v)$  is monotonically increasing on  $[0, \bar{v}]$  and decreasing on  $[-\bar{v}, 0]$ , and the limit function is continuous, the convergence is uniform over  $\Upsilon$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Lemma B.3:** The uniform convergence follows if

- (a) The finite dimensional distributions of  $\mathcal{R}_{nT}(v)$  converge to those of  $B(v)$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{R}_{nT}(v)$  is tight.

We show (a) first. With Assumptions A to D, the conditions for the CLT in Lemma A.3 are well established. Hence, for  $v \in \Upsilon$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}_{nT}(v) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^2(v))$ , where  $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^2(v)$  is the variance of  $\mathcal{R}_{nT}(v)$ . Then, it is left to show  $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^2(v) = |v| \Xi f$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}^*(v) = \mathbf{D}(\gamma_{nT}, \gamma_0) \mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}^*(v) = \mathbf{D}(\gamma_{nT}, \gamma_0) \mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{q}(v) = \text{diagv}[\mathbf{Q}_{nT} \mathbf{D}(\gamma_{nT}, \gamma_0) \mathbf{G}]$ . By Lemma B.5 of Yang (2015), we

have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}^2(v) &= \sigma_0^2 \mathbb{E} \mathcal{F}_{nT}(v) + 2l_0 \sigma_0^3 \kappa_3 \frac{a_{nT}}{nT} \mathbb{E} [\delta_0' \mathbf{H}^{*'}(v) \mathbf{Q}_{nT} \mathbf{q}(v)] + l_0^2 \sigma_0^4 \kappa_4 \frac{a_{nT}}{nT} \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{q}'(v) \mathbf{q}(v)] \\ &\quad + l_0^2 \sigma_0^4 \frac{a_{nT}}{nT} \mathbb{E} [\text{tr}(\mathbf{Q}_{nT} \mathbf{G}^*(v) (\mathbf{G}^{*'}(v) + \mathbf{Q}_{nT} \mathbf{G}^*(v)))] \equiv \sum_{s=1}^4 \mathcal{C}_s.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma B.2, we have  $(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_4) - \sigma_0^2 \Xi_1 f|v| \xrightarrow{p} 0$ . Similar to the proof of Lemma B.2, we can also show  $(\mathcal{C}_2 + \mathcal{C}_3) - \sigma_0^2 \Xi_2 f|v| \xrightarrow{p} 0$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\mathcal{R}_{nT}(v) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \Xi f|v|)$ . This argument can be extended to include any finite collection  $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$  to yield the convergence of the finite dimensional distributions of  $\mathcal{R}_{nT}(v)$  to those of  $B(v)$ .

We now show (b). By Lemma B.1, for all  $\gamma_j \in \Gamma$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $\varphi \geq (nT)^{-1}$ , there exist finite constant  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  such that if  $\eta \geq c_4/\sqrt{nT}$ ,

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma_j \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_j + \varphi} \|\delta_0'(\mathcal{J}_{1,nT}(\gamma, \gamma_j)) + l_0(\mathcal{J}_{2,nT}(\gamma, \gamma_j))\| > \eta\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta^4} c_3 \varphi^2. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\eta_1 > 0$ . Set  $\varphi_1 = \epsilon \eta_1^4 / c_3$ ,  $\varphi = \varphi_1 / a_{nT}$ ,  $\eta = \eta_1 / \sqrt{a_{nT}}$  and  $N_1 = (\max(\varphi^{-1/2}, c_4/\eta_1))^{1/\tau}$ . Hence, for  $nT \geq N_1$ , we have  $\varphi = \frac{\epsilon \eta_1^4}{nT c_3} (nT)^{2\tau} \geq \frac{\epsilon \eta_1^4}{nT \varphi c_3} = (nT)^{-1}$  and  $\eta \geq c_4/\sqrt{nT}$ . Set  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_0 + v_1/a_{nT}$ . By (C.10), for  $nT \geq N_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}&P\left(\sup_{v_1 \leq v \leq v_1 + \varphi_1} |\mathcal{R}_{nT}(v) - \mathcal{R}_{nT}(v_1)| > \eta_1\right) \\ &= P\left(\sup_{\gamma_1 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_1 + \varphi} \|\delta_0' \mathcal{J}_{1,nT}(\gamma, \gamma_j) + l_0 \mathcal{J}_{2,nT}(\gamma, \gamma_1)\| > \eta\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta_1^4} c_3 a_{nT}^2 (\varphi_1 / a_{nT})^2 = \varphi_1 \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

As discussed in the proof of Lemma B.1, this shows that (b) holds.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Lemma B.4:** Firstly, we show (a) when  $r = 1$ , and the proofs of the other results in (a)-(d) are similar and thus omitted. Note that  $D_{1,nT}(\gamma)$  is just a linear transformation of  $D_{11,nT}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{nT} \delta_0' \mathbf{H}' \mathbf{D}(\gamma_0, \gamma) \mathbf{H} \delta_0$ . It suffices to show

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{nT}} \frac{D_{11,nT}(\gamma)}{|\gamma - \gamma_0|} < (1 - \eta)k\right) \leq \epsilon.$$

Without loss of generality (WLOG), we assume  $\gamma > \gamma_0$ , as a symmetric argument can be established for the case of  $\gamma < \gamma_0$ . Hence,

$$d\mathbb{E} D_{11,nT}(\gamma) / d\gamma = \delta_0' M(\gamma) f(\gamma) \delta_0.$$

Since  $\delta_0' M(\gamma) f(\gamma) \delta_0 > 0$  (Assumption B(v)) and  $\delta_0' M(\gamma) f(\gamma) \delta_0$  is continuous at  $\gamma_0$  (Assumption B(iv)), then there is a  $B$  sufficiently small such that

$$k = \min_{|\gamma - \gamma_0| \leq B} \delta_0' M(\gamma) f(\gamma) \delta_0 > 0,$$

Because  $\mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_0) = 0$ , a first-order Taylor series expansion about  $\gamma_0$  yields

$$\inf_{|\gamma - \gamma_0| \leq B} \mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma) \geq k|\gamma - \gamma_0|. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

Then, (C.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|D_{11,nT}(\gamma) - \mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma)|^2 &\leq \|\delta_0\|^4 \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T [h_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) - \mathbb{E}h_{it}^2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)] \right|^2 \\ &\leq \|\delta_0\|^4 (nT)^{-1} c_2 |\gamma - \gamma_0|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.12})$$

For any  $\eta$  and  $\epsilon$ , set

$$b = \frac{1 - \eta/2}{1 - \eta} > 1, \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{C.13})$$

$$\bar{v} = \frac{8\|\delta_0\|^4 c_2}{\epsilon \eta^2 k^2 (1 - 1/b)}. \quad (\text{C.14})$$

We may assume that  $(n, T)$  is large enough so that  $\frac{\bar{v}}{a_{nT}} \leq B$ , else the inequality (a) is trivial. For  $l = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{N} + 1$ , set  $\gamma_j = \gamma_0 + \bar{v}b^{j-1}/a_{nT}$ , where  $\bar{N}$  is the integer such that  $\gamma_{\bar{N}} - \gamma_0 = \bar{v}b^{\bar{N}-1}/a_{nT} \leq B$  and  $\gamma_{\bar{N}+1} - \gamma_0 = \bar{v}b^{\bar{N}}/a_{nT} > B$ . (Note that  $\bar{N} \geq 1$  since  $\frac{\bar{v}}{a_{nT}} \leq B$ .)

Markov's inequality, (C.11) and (C.12) yield

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{1 \leq j \leq \bar{N}} \left| \frac{D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j) - \mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)}{\mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)} \right| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right) &\leq \frac{4}{\eta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}|D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j) - \mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)|^2}{|\mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\eta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{N}} \frac{\|\delta_0\|^4 (nT)^{-1} c_2}{k^2 |\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} \\ &\leq (nT)^{-2\tau} \frac{4\|\delta_0\|^4 c_2}{\eta^2 k^2 \bar{v}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{j-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{4\|\delta_0\|^4 c_2}{\eta^2 k^2 \bar{v} (1 - 1/b)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

where the final equation is based on (C.14). Thus, with probability exceeding  $1 - 2\epsilon$ ,  $\left| \frac{D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)}{\mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\eta}{2}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq \bar{N}$ . So for any  $\gamma \in [\gamma_0 + \bar{v}/a_{nT}, \gamma_0 + B]$ , there is some  $1 \leq j \leq \bar{N}$  such that  $\gamma_j < \gamma < \gamma_{j+1}$  and

$$\frac{D_{11,nT}(\gamma)}{|\gamma - \gamma_0|} \geq \frac{D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)}{\mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)} \frac{\mathbb{E}D_{11,nT}(\gamma_j)}{|\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_0|} \geq \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \frac{k|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|}{|\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_0|} = \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \frac{k}{b}$$

with probability exceeding  $1 - \epsilon/2$ , according to (C.15). Based on the definition of  $b$ , (C.13), the above inequality can be simplified as  $\frac{D_{11,nT}(\gamma)}{|\gamma - \gamma_0|} \geq (1 - \eta)k$ . Since this event has a probability exceeding  $1 - \epsilon/2$ , we have established

$$P\left(\inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{nT}} \frac{D_{11,nT}(\gamma)}{|\gamma - \gamma_0|} < (1 - \eta)k\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

A symmetric argument applies to the case  $-B \leq \gamma - \gamma_0 \leq -\frac{\bar{v}}{a_{nT}}$ .

Secondly, we show the results in (e). WLOG, we assume  $\gamma > \gamma_0$ . Let  $\gamma_j = \gamma_0 + \bar{v}b^{j-1}/a_{nT}$

for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{N} + 1$ , where  $b$  and  $\bar{N}$  are defined as before. By definition, it is seen that there are at most  $\log_b(a_{nT}B/\bar{v}) + 2$  points in the interval  $\gamma - \gamma_0 \in [\frac{\bar{v}}{a_{nT}}, B]$ , i.e.,  $\bar{N} \leq \log_b(a_{nT}B/\bar{v}) + 2$ . Then, for  $r = 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{nT}} \frac{\|P_{r,nT}(\gamma)\|}{|\gamma - \gamma_0|} > \eta\right) = P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq \bar{N}} \frac{\|P_{r,nT}(\gamma_j)\|}{|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} > \eta\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{N}} P\left(\frac{\|P_{r,nT}(\gamma_j)\|}{|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} > \eta\right).$$

Following the proof of Lemma C.2, for any  $j$ , we have  $E\|P_{r,nT}(\gamma_j)\|^2 \leq \frac{c_2}{nT}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|$ . Thus, Chebyshev inequality implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\bar{N}} P\left(\frac{\|P_{r,nT}(\gamma_j)\|}{|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} > \eta\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{N}} \frac{E\|P_{r,nT}(\gamma_j)\|^2}{|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|^2 \eta^2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_2 a_{nT}}{nT \bar{v} b^{j-1} \eta^2} \leq \frac{c_2 (nT)^{-2\tau}}{\bar{v}(1-1/b)\eta^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

A symmetric argument establishes a similar result for  $\gamma < \gamma_0$ .

Finally, we consider the two results in (f). As their proofs follow the same manner, we use general notation  $\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma)$  to denote either of them. Fix  $\eta > 0$ . For  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , set  $\gamma_j - \gamma_0 = \bar{v}2^{j-1}/a_{nT}$ , where  $\bar{v} < \infty$  will be determined later. By the similar analysis as used in the proof of Lemma B.1, for all  $\gamma_j \in \Gamma$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $\varphi \geq (nT)^{-1}$ , there exist  $c_3, c_4 < \infty$  such that if  $\eta \geq c_4/\sqrt{nT}$ ,

$$E\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|^2 \leq c_1 |\gamma_j - \gamma_0|, \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma_j \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_j + \varphi} \|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j)\| > \eta\right) \leq c_3 \varphi^2 \eta^{-4}. \quad (\text{C.17})$$

Next, we do the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{nT}} \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma - \gamma_0|} \\ &= \sup_j \sup_{\gamma_j \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{j+1}} \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} \frac{|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|}{|\gamma - \gamma_0|} \\ &\leq \sup_j \sup_{\gamma_j \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{j+1}} \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} + \sup_j \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.18})$$

For the first term of (C.18), we set  $\varphi_j = \gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j$  and  $\eta_j = \sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|\eta/2$ , and then

$$P\left(\sup_j \sup_{\gamma_j \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{j+1}} \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} > \eta/2\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sup_{\gamma_j \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_j + \varphi_j} \|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j)\| > \eta_j\right).$$

Note that if  $\bar{v} \geq 1$ , then  $\varphi_j \geq 1/a_{nT} \geq 1/n$ . In addition, if  $\bar{v} \geq 12c_1/\eta$ , then  $\eta_j = \bar{v}2^{j-2}\eta/\sqrt{a_{nT}} \geq c_4/\sqrt{a_{nT}} \geq c_4/\sqrt{nT}$ . Thus, if  $\bar{v} \geq \max(1, 12c_1/\eta)$ , using (C.17), the right hand side of above inequality is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_3 \varphi_j^2}{\eta_j^4} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{16c_3 |\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j|^2}{a_{nT}^2 |\gamma_j - \gamma_0|^4 \eta^4} = \frac{64c_3}{3\bar{v}^2 \eta^4}.$$

For the second term of (C.18), Markov's inequality and (C.16) imply

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_j \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} > \eta/2\right) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|} > \eta/2\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{4\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_j) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|^2}{a_{nT}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|^2\eta^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{4c_1|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|}{a_{nT}|\gamma_j - \gamma_0|^2\eta^2} = \frac{8c_1}{\bar{v}\eta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Together, if  $\bar{v} \geq \max(1, 12c_1/\eta)$  we have

$$P\left(\sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{nT}} \frac{\|\mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma) - \mathcal{J}_{s,nT}(\gamma_0)\|}{\sqrt{a_{nT}}|\gamma - \gamma_0|} > \eta\right) \leq \frac{64c_3}{3\bar{v}^2\eta^4} + \frac{8c_1}{\bar{v}\eta^2},$$

which can be made arbitrarily small by picking suitably large  $\bar{v}$ . Thus, results in (f) hold.  $\blacksquare$

## C.2. Additional Simulation Results

Table C.1 reports Monte Carlo simulation results for the TSPR model under the fixed-threshold framework ( $\tau = 0$ ). The results confirm that the common parameters  $\theta$  can be consistently estimated in this setting, consistent with the theoretical extension discussed below Theorems 2.1 and 2.5. In this case, the threshold estimator  $\hat{\gamma}_{nT}$  converges at a faster rate than under diminishing threshold effects. However, according to Chan (1993), the asymptotic distribution of  $nT(\hat{\gamma}_{nT} - \gamma_0)$  may be a functional of a compound Poisson process that depends on the marginal distribution of  $x_{it}$ , and hence is not suitable for inference on  $\gamma$ .

Table C.2 presents simulation results when the true threshold parameter is nonzero ( $\gamma = 1$ ). The findings show that the proposed estimators remain consistent and perform similarly to the case  $\gamma = 0$ , further demonstrating the robustness of our method.

Lastly, Table C.3 reports simulation results that incorporate spatial error (SE) dependence, as described in Section 6. Because the 2SLS estimator of Wei et al. (2021) does not accommodate SE effects and is not straightforward to extend to this setting, we present only the AQML and bc-AQML results. The results show that both estimators exhibit excellent finite-sample performance in terms of bias. The bc-AQMLE further improves inference, with empirical coverage probabilities centered closely around the nominal 95% level, particularly for the spatial error parameter when both  $n$  and  $T$  are large (e.g.,  $n = 50$ ,  $T = 40$ ), underscoring the importance of correcting for asymptotic bias. Moreover, the robust standard error estimates ( $\hat{sd}$ ) closely track the corresponding Monte Carlo standard deviations across all scenarios.

**Table C.1:** Empirical bias( $sd$ )[ $\hat{sd}$ ] of the estimators for FE-SPR model with **fixed threshold effects**;  
 $W_t$ =Queen Contiguity; error = 1(normal), 2(normal mixture), 3(chi-square).

	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML
	(a) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 5)			(b) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 10)		
$\beta_1$	-.0362(0.152)	-.0001(.076)[.071]	-.0035(.076)[.071]	.0055(0.063)	.0000(.051)[.050]	-.0006(.051)[.050]
$\beta_2$	.0429(0.208)	-.0024(.113)[.111]	.0024(.113)[.111]	-.0240(0.120)	.0000(.087)[.083]	-.0003(.087)[.083]
$\lambda_1$	-.0971(0.288)	-.0222(.059)[.057]	-.0065(.059)[.057]	-.0671(0.257)	-.0183(.040)[.038]	-.0026(.040)[.038]
$\lambda_2$	.1006(0.491)	.0124(.055)[.053]	.0119(.055)[.053]	.0616(0.405)	.0035(.036)[.035]	.0027(.036)[.035]
$\sigma^2$	.1581(0.125)	-.0241(.098)[.098]	-.0262(.098)[.098]	.1891(0.084)	-.0132(.067)[.067]	-.0152(.067)[.067]
$\gamma$	.7538(0.726)	-.0071(.159)[- -]	-.0071(.159)[- -]	.5423(0.717)	-.0019(.112)[- -]	-.0019(.112)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0291(0.157)	-.0002(.073)[.071]	-.0036(.073)[.071]	.0031(0.059)	.0013(.052)[.050]	.0007(.052)[.050]
$\beta_2$	.0383(0.206)	-.0009(.119)[.111]	.0039(.119)[.111]	-.0245(0.099)	-.0054(.085)[.083]	-.0057(.085)[.083]
$\lambda_1$	-.0794(0.291)	-.0229(.061)[.057]	-.0073(.061)[.057]	-.0912(0.242)	-.0189(.040)[.038]	-.0032(.040)[.038]
$\lambda_2$	.0779(0.486)	.0145(.055)[.053]	.0140(.055)[.053]	.0873(0.375)	.0076(.036)[.035]	.0068(.036)[.035]
$\sigma^2$	.1693(0.231)	-.0185(.211)[.200]	-.0207(.211)[.200]	.1998(0.179)	-.0103(.164)[.147]	-.0123(.163)[.147]
$\gamma$	.7350(0.716)	.0030(.132)[- -]	.0030(.132)[- -]	.5564(0.700)	-.0085(.103)[- -]	-.0085(.103)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0304(0.158)	.0037(.074)[.071]	.0004(.074)[.071]	.0041(0.057)	-.0017(.046)[.050]	-.0023(.046)[.050]
$\beta_2$	.0318(0.220)	-.0059(.120)[.111]	-.0012(.120)[.111]	-.0257(0.106)	.0025(.080)[.083]	.0022(.080)[.083]
$\lambda_1$	-.1001(0.284)	-.0266(.059)[.056]	-.0110(.059)[.056]	-.0696(0.240)	-.0152(.039)[.038]	.0006(.039)[.038]
$\lambda_2$	.1076(0.487)	.0107(.052)[.053]	.0102(.052)[.053]	.0772(0.375)	.0024(.035)[.035]	.0016(.034)[.035]
$\sigma^2$	.1507(0.169)	-.0265(.152)[.148]	-.0285(.152)[.148]	.1940(0.126)	-.0078(.110)[.107]	-.0099(.109)[.107]
$\gamma$	.7358(0.787)	-.0010(.225)[- -]	-.0010(.225)[- -]	.4503(0.765)	-.0243(.123)[- -]	-.0243(.123)[- -]
	(c) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 20)			(d) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 40)		
$\beta_1$	-.0567(0.142)	.0007(.033)[.033]	-.0001(.033)[.033]	.0039(0.113)	-.0002(.023)[.023]	-.0005(.023)[.023]
$\beta_2$	.0645(0.137)	-.0018(.055)[.055]	-.0023(.055)[.055]	.0062(0.075)	.0010(.039)[.039]	.0006(.039)[.039]
$\lambda_1$	-.1354(0.270)	-.0156(.026)[.026]	-.0017(.026)[.026]	.0002(0.272)	-.0160(.020)[.019]	-.0014(.020)[.019]
$\lambda_2$	.1278(0.389)	.0022(.028)[.028]	.0025(.028)[.028]	-.0318(0.467)	.0016(.021)[.021]	.0011(.021)[.021]
$\sigma^2$	.1678(0.054)	-.0024(.045)[.046]	-.0043(.045)[.046]	.1601(0.042)	-.0009(.034)[.032]	-.0028(.034)[.032]
$\gamma$	.8780(0.430)	.0218(.060)[- -]	.0218(.060)[- -]	.5965(0.414)	-.0038(.032)[- -]	-.0038(.032)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0524(0.148)	-.0018(.034)[.033]	-.0026(.034)[.033]	-.0081(0.130)	-.0013(.022)[.023]	-.0016(.022)[.023]
$\beta_2$	.0635(0.143)	.0022(.054)[.055]	.0016(.054)[.055]	.0060(0.079)	.0013(.037)[.039]	.0009(.037)[.039]
$\lambda_1$	-.1222(0.280)	-.0186(.026)[.026]	-.0047(.026)[.026]	-.0294(0.316)	-.0148(.020)[.019]	-.0001(.020)[.019]
$\lambda_2$	.1092(0.395)	.0044(.030)[.028]	.0046(.030)[.028]	.0223(0.542)	.0004(.021)[.021]	.0000(.021)[.021]
$\sigma^2$	.1708(0.120)	.0003(.109)[.107]	-.0016(.109)[.107]	.1558(0.080)	-.0037(.074)[.076]	-.0056(.074)[.076]
$\gamma$	.8585(0.424)	.0225(.067)[- -]	.0225(.067)[- -]	.5893(0.431)	.0024(.037)[- -]	.0024(.037)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0533(0.143)	.0002(.035)[.033]	-.0006(.035)[.033]	.0018(0.116)	.0041(.023)[.023]	.0038(.023)[.023]
$\beta_2$	.0601(0.147)	.0001(.058)[.055]	-.0004(.058)[.055]	-.0042(0.083)	-.0057(.040)[.039]	-.0061(.040)[.039]
$\lambda_1$	-.1265(0.273)	-.0153(.025)[.026]	-.0015(.025)[.026]	-.0219(0.281)	-.0153(.020)[.019]	-.0007(.020)[.019]
$\lambda_2$	.1205(0.390)	.0017(.027)[.028]	.0020(.027)[.028]	.0085(0.486)	.0016(.021)[.021]	.0011(.021)[.021]
$\sigma^2$	.1620(0.088)	-.0067(.077)[.076]	-.0086(.077)[.076]	.1577(0.063)	-.0033(.056)[.055]	-.0052(.055)[.055]
$\gamma$	.8517(0.442)	.0177(.074)[- -]	.0177(.074)[- -]	.6335(0.444)	-.0049(.034)[- -]	-.0049(.034)[- -]

**Note:** (i) Error distributions: error = 1, 2, 3, for the three panels under each ( $n, T$ );

(ii) True parameter values:  $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 0.5, \lambda_1 = 0.2, \lambda_2 = 0.3, \sigma^2 = 1$ , and  $\gamma = 0$ ;

(iii) Empirical bias( $sd$ ) for QMLE of  $\sigma^2$  under the three error distributions:

(a)  $\{-.2349(.077), -.2305(.166), -.2368(.120)\}$ ; (b)  $\{-.1296(.059), -.1270(.144), -.1249(.097)\}$

(c)  $\{-.0713(.042), -.0687(.102), -.0752(.072)\}$ ; (d)  $\{-.0454(.032), -.0480(.071), -.0477(.053)\}$

**Table C.1 (Cont'd):** Empirical bias( $sd$ )[ $\hat{sd}$ ] of the estimators for FE-SPR model with **fixed threshold effects**;  $W_i$ =Queen Contiguity; error = 1(normal), 2(normal mixture), 3(chi-square).

	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML
	$(e) (n, T) = (100, 5)$			$(f) (n, T) = (100, 10)$		
$\beta_1$	.0298(0.076)	.0030(.046)[.045]	.0020(.046)[.045]	-.0041(0.061)	.0005(.032)[.033]	.0002(.032)[.033]
$\beta_2$	-.0495(0.128)	-.0032(.088)[.081]	-.0032(.088)[.081]	-.0269(0.088)	-.0006(.053)[.056]	-.0011(.053)[.056]
$\lambda_1$	-.0888(0.286)	-.0097(.041)[.040]	-.0030(.041)[.040]	-.0860(0.247)	-.0086(.026)[.026]	-.0022(.026)[.026]
$\lambda_2$	.1324(0.431)	.0043(.048)[.049]	.0044(.048)[.049]	.1234(0.357)	.0026(.025)[.027]	.0017(.025)[.027]
$\sigma^2$	.1214(0.082)	-.0127(.072)[.070]	-.0136(.072)[.070]	.2041(0.062)	-.0026(.049)[.047]	-.0033(.049)[.047]
$\gamma$	-.0728(0.765)	-.0356(.180)[- -]	-.0356(.180)[- -]	.2858(0.591)	.0078(.067)[- -]	.0078(.067)[- -]
$\beta_1$	.0230(0.072)	-.0001(.044)[.044]	-.0011(.044)[.044]	-.0004(0.062)	-.0001(.035)[.033]	-.0005(.035)[.033]
$\beta_2$	-.0418(0.119)	-.0009(.082)[.081]	-.0009(.081)[.081]	-.0303(0.095)	.0013(.059)[.056]	.0008(.059)[.056]
$\lambda_1$	-.0668(0.284)	-.0113(.043)[.040]	-.0046(.043)[.040]	-.0715(0.238)	-.0089(.026)[.026]	-.0026(.026)[.026]
$\lambda_2$	.0897(0.429)	.0058(.050)[.049]	.0058(.050)[.049]	.1072(0.349)	.0037(.027)[.027]	.0028(.027)[.027]
$\sigma^2$	.1150(0.173)	-.0228(.157)[.147]	-.0237(.157)[.147]	.1985(0.121)	-.0114(.109)[.106]	-.0122(.109)[.106]
$\gamma$	-.0735(0.806)	-.0287(.184)[- -]	-.0287(.184)[- -]	.2412(0.610)	.0093(.061)[- -]	.0093(.061)[- -]
$\beta_1$	.0294(0.074)	-.0003(.043)[.044]	-.0014(.043)[.044]	-.0013(0.059)	.0022(.033)[.033]	.0018(.033)[.033]
$\beta_2$	-.0487(0.122)	.0021(.082)[.081]	.0021(.082)[.081]	-.0298(0.091)	-.0026(.055)[.056]	-.0030(.055)[.056]
$\lambda_1$	-.0936(0.289)	-.0107(.041)[.040]	-.0041(.041)[.040]	-.0844(0.247)	-.0078(.027)[.026]	-.0014(.027)[.026]
$\lambda_2$	.1268(0.431)	.0032(.047)[.049]	.0032(.047)[.049]	.1215(0.355)	.0027(.026)[.026]	.0018(.026)[.026]
$\sigma^2$	.1223(0.124)	-.0137(.112)[.109]	-.0146(.112)[.109]	.2066(0.093)	-.0019(.081)[.077]	-.0027(.081)[.077]
$\gamma$	-.0751(0.818)	-.0357(.203)[- -]	-.0357(.203)[- -]	.2661(0.614)	.0048(.067)[- -]	.0048(.067)[- -]
	$(g) (n, T) = (200, 5)$			$(h) (n, T) = (200, 10)$		
$\beta_1$	-.0310(0.157)	.0023(.036)[.035]	.0021(.036)[.035]	.0005(0.028)	-.0001(.022)[.022]	-.0002(.022)[.022]
$\beta_2$	.0055(0.146)	-.0042(.063)[.061]	-.0043(.063)[.061]	-.0144(0.050)	.0000(.038)[.039]	-.0002(.038)[.039]
$\lambda_1$	-.1114(0.378)	-.0069(.031)[.029]	-.0030(.031)[.029]	-.0348(0.087)	-.0051(.021)[.021]	-.0009(.021)[.021]
$\lambda_2$	.1674(0.607)	.0037(.032)[.032]	.0035(.032)[.032]	.0654(0.153)	.0021(.024)[.025]	.0021(.024)[.025]
$\sigma^2$	.1655(0.064)	-.0079(.051)[.050]	-.0084(.051)[.050]	.1423(0.041)	-.0040(.034)[.033]	-.0045(.034)[.033]
$\gamma$	.4179(0.550)	.0070(.067)[- -]	.0070(.067)[- -]	.0746(0.423)	-.0041(.040)[- -]	-.0041(.040)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0257(0.162)	.0004(.037)[.035]	.0002(.037)[.035]	.0012(0.027)	.0006(.021)[.022]	.0005(.021)[.022]
$\beta_2$	.0038(0.159)	.0004(.065)[.061]	.0003(.065)[.061]	-.0152(0.048)	-.0017(.038)[.039]	-.0019(.038)[.039]
$\lambda_1$	-.0917(0.406)	-.0037(.027)[.029]	.0002(.027)[.029]	-.0324(0.095)	-.0050(.022)[.021]	-.0009(.021)[.021]
$\lambda_2$	.1455(0.638)	.0013(.032)[.032]	.0011(.032)[.032]	.0604(0.161)	.0019(.024)[.025]	.0019(.024)[.025]
$\sigma^2$	.1540(0.123)	-.0171(.108)[.106]	-.0176(.108)[.106]	.1419(0.084)	-.0037(.077)[.076]	-.0042(.077)[.076]
$\gamma$	.3941(0.555)	.0136(.075)[- -]	.0136(.075)[- -]	.0762(0.420)	-.0026(.035)[- -]	-.0026(.035)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0382(0.158)	-.0027(.037)[.035]	-.0030(.037)[.035]	.0002(0.028)	.0001(.022)[.022]	.0000(.022)[.022]
$\beta_2$	.0163(0.150)	.0033(.064)[.061]	.0032(.064)[.061]	-.0133(0.049)	.0001(.039)[.039]	-.0001(.039)[.039]
$\lambda_1$	-.1128(0.395)	-.0032(.031)[.029]	.0008(.031)[.029]	-.0379(0.097)	-.0051(.021)[.021]	-.0010(.021)[.021]
$\lambda_2$	.1764(0.630)	-.0002(.034)[.032]	-.0004(.034)[.032]	.0651(0.164)	.0000(.024)[.025]	.0000(.024)[.025]
$\sigma^2$	.1658(0.086)	-.0043(.075)[.079]	-.0048(.075)[.079]	.1429(0.063)	-.0014(.057)[.055]	-.0019(.057)[.055]
$\gamma$	.3731(0.528)	.0044(.081)[- -]	.0044(.081)[- -]	.0985(0.429)	-.0048(.030)[- -]	-.0048(.030)[- -]

**Note:** (i) Error distributions: error = 1, 2, 3, for the three panels under each  $(n, T)$ ;

(ii) True parameter values:  $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 0.5, \lambda_1 = 0.2, \lambda_2 = 0.3, \sigma^2 = 1$ , and  $\gamma = 0$ ;

(iii) Empirical bias( $sd$ ) for QMLE of  $\sigma^2$  under three error distributions:

(e)  $\{-.2181(.057), -.2261(.125), -.2189(.089)\}$ ; (f)  $\{-.1113(.043), -.1192(.097), -.1107(.072)\}$

(g)  $\{-.2103(.041), -.2176(.086), -.2074(.060)\}$ ; (h)  $\{-.1081(.031), -.1078(.069), -.1057(.051)\}$

**Table C.2:** Empirical bias( $sd$ )[ $\hat{sd}$ ] of the estimators for FE-SPR model with threshold effects;  $W_i$ =Queen Contiguity; error = 1(normal), 2(normal mixture), 3(chi-square);  $\gamma = 1$ .

	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML
	(a) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 5)			(b) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 10)		
$\beta_1$	-.0189(0.137)	-.0025(.071)[.067]	-.0059(.071)[.067]	-.0026(0.052)	.0003(.045)[.045]	-.0008(.045)[.045]
$\beta_2$	-.0177(0.225)	.0009(.099)[.098]	.0051(.099)[.098]	.0124(0.115)	.0008(.071)[.069]	.0008(.071)[.069]
$\lambda_1$	-.0449(0.259)	-.0248(.080)[.070]	-.0060(.080)[.070]	-.0065(0.307)	-.0242(.048)[.047]	-.0047(.048)[.047]
$\lambda_2$	.1454(0.427)	.0132(.068)[.063]	.0107(.068)[.063]	-.0065(0.521)	.0068(.041)[.040]	.0050(.040)[.040]
$\sigma^2$	.1987(0.135)	-.0249(.098)[.098]	-.0280(.098)[.098]	.1801(0.088)	-.0094(.069)[.067]	-.0123(.069)[.067]
$\gamma$	-.6534(1.081)	-.0225(.313)[- -]	-.0225(.313)[- -]	-.4652(0.874)	.0044(.129)[- -]	.0044(.129)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0136(0.140)	-.0021(.072)[.067]	-.0055(.072)[.067]	.0000(0.053)	-.0004(.049)[.045]	-.0015(.049)[.045]
$\beta_2$	-.0206(0.217)	.0044(.108)[.098]	.0086(.108)[.098]	.0017(0.104)	.0010(.073)[.070]	.0011(.073)[.070]
$\lambda_1$	-.0328(0.266)	-.0267(.078)[.071]	-.0079(.078)[.071]	-.0573(0.296)	-.0234(.049)[.047]	-.0040(.049)[.047]
$\lambda_2$	.1327(0.419)	.0143(.070)[.064]	.0116(.069)[.064]	.0649(0.472)	.0057(.043)[.041]	.0039(.043)[.041]
$\sigma^2$	.2078(0.237)	-.0171(.212)[.200]	-.0203(.211)[.200]	.1869(0.178)	-.0036(.159)[.148]	-.0065(.159)[.148]
$\gamma$	-.6384(1.063)	-.0064(.256)[- -]	-.0064(.256)[- -]	-.4040(0.834)	-.0084(.131)[- -]	-.0084(.131)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0092(0.142)	-.0009(.069)[.067]	-.0043(.069)[.067]	-.0041(0.051)	-.0020(.044)[.045]	-.0031(.044)[.045]
$\beta_2$	-.0250(0.229)	.0001(.107)[.098]	.0042(.107)[.098]	.0092(0.119)	.0046(.067)[.069]	.0045(.067)[.069]
$\lambda_1$	-.0346(0.273)	-.0321(.075)[.069]	-.0136(.075)[.069]	-.0178(0.299)	-.0213(.047)[.047]	-.0019(.047)[.047]
$\lambda_2$	.1261(0.440)	.0166(.067)[.063]	.0141(.067)[.063]	.0310(0.493)	.0037(.040)[.040]	.0020(.040)[.040]
$\sigma^2$	.1961(0.186)	-.0269(.159)[.149]	-.0299(.158)[.149]	.1746(0.129)	-.0100(.111)[.107]	-.0129(.111)[.107]
$\gamma$	-.6710(1.122)	-.0048(.256)[- -]	-.0048(.256)[- -]	-.4844(0.855)	.0020(.137)[- -]	.0020(.137)[- -]
	(c) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 20)			(d) ( $n, T$ ) = (50, 40)		
$\beta_1$	-.0175(0.115)	.0018(.031)[.030]	.0007(.031)[.030]	-.0207(0.078)	-.0005(.020)[.021]	-.0009(.020)[.021]
$\beta_2$	-.0411(0.196)	-.0027(.046)[.047]	-.0027(.046)[.047]	.0144(0.072)	.0022(.032)[.033]	.0018(.032)[.033]
$\lambda_1$	-.0866(0.313)	-.0213(.035)[.034]	-.0044(.035)[.034]	-.0838(0.350)	-.0203(.026)[.025]	-.0020(.026)[.025]
$\lambda_2$	.2039(0.507)	.0059(.034)[.033]	.0055(.034)[.033]	.1247(0.603)	.0016(.025)[.025]	.0018(.024)[.025]
$\sigma^2$	.1246(0.056)	-.0026(.046)[.046]	-.0050(.046)[.046]	.0915(0.037)	-.0003(.033)[.033]	-.0028(.032)[.033]
$\gamma$	-.3888(0.874)	-.0080(.107)[- -]	-.0080(.107)[- -]	-.2431(0.736)	-.0048(.057)[- -]	-.0048(.057)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0306(0.114)	-.0003(.030)[.030]	-.0013(.030)[.030]	-.0182(0.071)	-.0013(.021)[.021]	-.0018(.021)[.021]
$\beta_2$	-.0226(0.202)	.0012(.045)[.047]	.0011(.045)[.047]	.0122(0.073)	.0005(.032)[.033]	.0001(.032)[.033]
$\lambda_1$	-.1213(0.313)	-.0231(.036)[.034]	-.0063(.036)[.034]	-.0654(0.324)	-.0198(.028)[.025]	-.0015(.028)[.025]
$\lambda_2$	.2393(0.503)	.0072(.033)[.033]	.0068(.033)[.033]	.0959(0.552)	.0021(.025)[.025]	.0022(.025)[.025]
$\sigma^2$	.1218(0.117)	-.0042(.106)[.107]	-.0066(.106)[.107]	.0927(0.082)	.0002(.076)[.077]	-.0023(.076)[.077]
$\gamma$	-.3487(0.882)	.0011(.109)[- -]	.0011(.109)[- -]	-.2172(0.709)	-.0062(.083)[- -]	-.0062(.083)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0239(0.105)	-.0029(.031)[.031]	-.0040(.031)[.031]	-.0164(0.078)	.0016(.021)[.021]	.0011(.021)[.021]
$\beta_2$	-.0253(0.186)	.0053(.048)[.047]	.0052(.048)[.047]	.0064(0.069)	-.0009(.033)[.033]	-.0013(.033)[.033]
$\lambda_1$	-.0854(0.290)	-.0168(.031)[.033]	.0000(.031)[.033]	-.0753(0.353)	-.0193(.025)[.025]	-.0010(.025)[.025]
$\lambda_2$	.1947(0.462)	.0030(.031)[.033]	.0027(.031)[.033]	.1197(0.605)	.0022(.025)[.025]	.0023(.025)[.025]
$\sigma^2$	.1175(0.088)	-.0074(.078)[.076]	-.0098(.078)[.076]	.0919(0.062)	-.0016(.056)[.055]	-.0041(.056)[.055]
$\gamma$	-.3973(0.906)	-.0088(.125)[- -]	-.0088(.125)[- -]	-.2367(0.731)	-.0117(.076)[- -]	-.0117(.076)[- -]

**Note:** (i) Error distributions: error = 1, 2, 3, for the three panels under each ( $n, T$ );

(ii) True parameter values:  $\beta_1 = 1, \lambda_1 = 0.2, \gamma = 1, \sigma^2 = 1$ , and  $\beta_2 = \lambda_2 = (nT)^{-0.2}$ ;

(iii) Empirical bias( $sd$ ) for QMLE of  $\sigma^2$  under the three error distributions:

(a)  $\{-.2355(.077), -.2294(.166), -.2371(.124)\}$ ; (b)  $\{-.1263(.061), -.1211(.141), -.1268(.098)\}$

(c)  $\{-.0714(.043), -.0729(.099), -.0759(.073)\}$ ; (d)  $\{-.0448(.031), -.0443(.073), -.0461(.054)\}$

**Table C.2 (Cont'd):** Empirical bias( $sd$ )[ $\hat{sd}$ ] of the estimators for FE-SPR model with threshold effects;  $W_t$ =Queen Contiguity; error = 1(normal), 2(normal mixture), 3(chi-square).

	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML	2SLS	AQML	bc-AQML
	(e) ( $n, T$ ) = (100, 5)			(f) ( $n, T$ ) = (100, 10)		
$\beta_1$	.0085(0.111)	.0026(.044)[.043]	.0012(.044)[.043]	.0034(0.082)	.0001(.030)[.028]	-.0004(.030)[.028]
$\beta_2$	.0022(0.141)	.0023(.075)[.070]	.0025(.075)[.070]	.0033(0.105)	.0024(.051)[.046]	.0020(.050)[.046]
$\lambda_1$	-.0433(0.325)	-.0111(.050)[.051]	-.0032(.050)[.051]	-.0346(0.421)	-.0122(.040)[.039]	-.0033(.039)[.039]
$\lambda_2$	.0921(0.476)	.0042(.058)[.055]	.0039(.058)[.055]	.0537(0.593)	.0049(.042)[.041]	.0042(.042)[.041]
$\sigma^2$	.1387(0.090)	-.0106(.072)[.071]	-.0118(.072)[.071]	.1104(0.055)	-.0058(.046)[.047]	-.0070(.046)[.047]
$\gamma$	-.4235(0.763)	-.0229(.155)[- -]	-.0229(.155)[- -]	-.1214(0.323)	-.0151(.110)[- -]	-.0151(.110)[- -]
$\beta_1$	.0019(0.125)	-.0010(.042)[.042]	-.0023(.043)[.042]	.0023(0.080)	-.0001(.029)[.028]	-.0006(.029)[.028]
$\beta_2$	.0089(0.157)	.0064(.070)[.070]	.0066(.070)[.070]	.0045(0.102)	.0034(.048)[.046]	.0030(.048)[.046]
$\lambda_1$	-.0311(0.384)	-.0138(.056)[.051]	-.0060(.056)[.051]	-.0263(0.415)	-.0115(.040)[.039]	-.0026(.040)[.039]
$\lambda_2$	.0597(0.549)	.0059(.060)[.055]	.0057(.060)[.055]	.0469(0.583)	.0034(.042)[.042]	.0026(.042)[.042]
$\sigma^2$	.1326(0.182)	-.0186(.158)[.147]	-.0198(.158)[.147]	.1132(0.114)	-.0031(.104)[.107]	-.0042(.104)[.107]
$\gamma$	-.3811(0.776)	-.0135(.153)[- -]	-.0135(.153)[- -]	-.1174(0.336)	-.0121(.128)[- -]	-.0121(.128)[- -]
$\beta_1$	.0086(0.130)	-.0005(.042)[.042]	-.0019(.042)[.042]	.0007(0.081)	.0008(.029)[.028]	.0003(.029)[.028]
$\beta_2$	-.0019(0.160)	.0036(.073)[.070]	.0037(.073)[.070]	.0054(0.102)	.0014(.048)[.046]	.0010(.048)[.046]
$\lambda_1$	-.0472(0.383)	-.0125(.054)[.051]	-.0046(.054)[.051]	-.0171(0.435)	-.0142(.040)[.038]	-.0053(.040)[.038]
$\lambda_2$	.0876(0.534)	.0028(.055)[.055]	.0025(.055)[.055]	.0318(0.608)	.0078(.041)[.041]	.0071(.041)[.041]
$\sigma^2$	.1305(0.126)	-.0153(.110)[.109]	-.0164(.110)[.109]	.1074(0.086)	-.0090(.076)[.077]	-.0102(.076)[.077]
$\gamma$	-.3813(0.789)	-.0139(.181)[- -]	-.0139(.181)[- -]	-.1145(0.334)	-.0144(.129)[- -]	-.0144(.129)[- -]
	(g) ( $n, T$ ) = (200, 5)			(h) ( $n, T$ ) = (200, 10)		
$\beta_1$	-.0056(0.135)	-.0009(.033)[.032]	-.0012(.033)[.032]	-.0012(0.024)	.0002(.022)[.021]	.0000(.021)[.021]
$\beta_2$	-.0090(0.128)	.0002(.051)[.052]	.0000(.051)[.052]	-.0056(0.051)	.0000(.035)[.033]	.0000(.035)[.033]
$\lambda_1$	-.0361(0.588)	-.0079(.037)[.036]	-.0032(.037)[.036]	-.0494(0.212)	-.0051(.027)[.026]	-.0005(.027)[.026]
$\lambda_2$	.0985(0.909)	.0032(.035)[.036]	.0030(.035)[.036]	.1047(0.337)	.0039(.026)[.026]	.0037(.026)[.026]
$\sigma^2$	.1264(0.061)	-.0084(.050)[.050]	-.0091(.050)[.050]	.1090(0.039)	-.0036(.034)[.033]	-.0042(.033)[.033]
$\gamma$	-.4821(0.842)	.0105(.122)[- -]	.0105(.122)[- -]	-.1950(0.681)	-.0072(.081)[- -]	-.0072(.081)[- -]
$\beta_1$	-.0077(0.131)	-.0009(.029)[.032]	-.0011(.029)[.032]	-.0014(0.023)	-.0005(.021)[.021]	-.0008(.021)[.021]
$\beta_2$	-.0018(0.127)	.0012(.050)[.052]	.0010(.050)[.052]	-.0042(0.048)	.0015(.033)[.033]	.0015(.033)[.033]
$\lambda_1$	-.0468(0.584)	-.0099(.037)[.036]	-.0051(.037)[.036]	-.0562(0.200)	-.0064(.026)[.026]	-.0017(.026)[.026]
$\lambda_2$	.0968(0.915)	.0058(.036)[.036]	.0057(.036)[.036]	.1040(0.333)	.0044(.026)[.026]	.0042(.026)[.026]
$\sigma^2$	.1268(0.120)	-.0086(.109)[.107]	-.0092(.109)[.107]	.1072(0.087)	-.0050(.080)[.076]	-.0056(.080)[.076]
$\gamma$	-.5345(0.867)	.0115(.125)[- -]	.0115(.125)[- -]	-.1690(0.696)	-.0005(.085)[- -]	-.0005(.085)[- -]
$\beta_1$	.0072(0.140)	-.0013(.033)[.032]	-.0016(.033)[.032]	.0017(0.025)	.0016(.021)[.021]	.0013(.021)[.021]
$\beta_2$	-.0092(0.135)	-.0004(.053)[.052]	-.0006(.053)[.052]	-.0100(0.057)	-.0010(.035)[.033]	-.0010(.035)[.033]
$\lambda_1$	.0328(0.593)	-.0089(.037)[.036]	-.0042(.037)[.036]	-.0690(0.216)	-.0058(.027)[.026]	-.0012(.027)[.026]
$\lambda_2$	-.0359(0.944)	.0047(.038)[.036]	.0046(.038)[.036]	.1248(0.373)	.0027(.026)[.026]	.0026(.026)[.026]
$\sigma^2$	.1315(0.088)	-.0029(.075)[.079]	-.0035(.075)[.079]	.1076(0.061)	-.0033(.055)[.055]	-.0039(.055)[.055]
$\gamma$	-.5386(0.865)	.0136(.122)[- -]	.0136(.122)[- -]	-.1819(0.718)	.0064(.087)[- -]	.0064(.087)[- -]

**Note:** (i) Error distributions: error = 1, 2, 3, for the three panels under each ( $n, T$ );

(ii) True parameter values:  $\beta_1 = 1, \lambda_1 = 0.2, \gamma = 1, \sigma^2 = 1$ , and  $\beta_2 = \lambda_2 = (nT)^{-0.2}$ ;

(iii) Empirical bias( $sd$ ) for QMLE of  $\sigma^2$  under three error distributions:

(e)  $\{-.2164(.057), -.2227(.125), -.2201(.088)\}$ ; (f)  $\{-.1112(.043), -.1168(.097), -.1121(.072)\}$

(g)  $\{-.2107(.040), -.2108(.087), -.2063(.060)\}$ ; (h)  $\{-.1078(.030), -.1089(.071), -.1074(.049)\}$

**Table C.3:** Empirical bias( $sd$ )[ $\hat{sd}$ ]{CP} of the estimators for FE-SPR model with threshold effects;  $W_t$ =Queen Contiguity; error = 1(normal), 2(normal mixture), 3(chi-square).

	AQML		bc-AQML		AQML		bc-AQML	
	(a) $(n, T) = (50, 5)$				(b) $(n, T) = (50, 10)$			
$\beta_1$	-0.0030(.081)[.078]{93.8}	-0.0028(.081)[.078]{93.8}	.0002(.050)[.050]{94.7}	.0001(.050)[.050]{94.9}				
$\beta_2$	-0.0012(.124)[.124]{95.2}	-0.0012(.124)[.124]{95.2}	-0.0019(.082)[.083]{94.9}	-0.0018(.082)[.083]{94.9}				
$\lambda_1$	-0.0197(.096)[.089]{91.8}	-0.0161(.098)[.089]{91.2}	-0.0083(.053)[.051]{93.9}	-0.0056(.054)[.051]{94.2}				
$\lambda_2$	.0257(.085)[.084]{93.8}	.0249(.085)[.084]{94.0}	.0062(.037)[.037]{95.2}	.0061(.037)[.037]{95.6}				
$\rho$	-0.0818(.143)[.135]{89.7}	-0.0211(.145)[.135]{93.1}	-0.0709(.093)[.086]{86.8}	-0.0110(.094)[.086]{91.7}				
$\sigma^2$	-0.0318(.098)[.098]{91.0}	-0.0374(.097)[.098]{90.7}	-0.0131(.069)[.067]{93.2}	-0.0186(.069)[.067]{92.5}				
$\gamma$	-0.0325(.408)[- -]{97.2}	-0.0325(.408)[- -]{97.2}	-0.0179(.222)[- -]{98.5}	-0.0179(.222)[- -]{98.5}				
$\beta_1$	-0.0015(.077)[.078]{96.2}	-0.0014(.077)[.078]{96.2}	-0.0027(.051)[.050]{93.9}	-0.0029(.051)[.050]{93.8}				
$\beta_2$	-0.0067(.127)[.123]{94.6}	-0.0067(.126)[.123]{94.8}	.0009(.085)[.083]{94.2}	.0012(.085)[.083]{94.2}				
$\lambda_1$	-0.0237(.097)[.090]{92.5}	-0.0204(.100)[.090]{92.7}	-0.0063(.052)[.051]{94.3}	-0.0034(.054)[.051]{94.0}				
$\lambda_2$	.0291(.083)[.086]{95.4}	.0281(.083)[.086]{95.7}	.0055(.038)[.037]{95.0}	.0053(.038)[.037]{95.2}				
$\rho$	-0.0783(.148)[.136]{91.2}	-0.0174(.151)[.136]{92.3}	-0.0712(.093)[.087]{87.1}	-0.0112(.094)[.087]{92.8}				
$\sigma^2$	-0.0220(.215)[.201]{87.6}	-0.0279(.213)[.201]{87.3}	-0.0120(.155)[.148]{91.3}	-0.0175(.154)[.148]{90.8}				
$\gamma$	-0.0315(.417)[- -]{96.0}	-0.0315(.417)[- -]{96.0}	-0.0099(.222)[- -]{96.7}	-0.0099(.222)[- -]{96.7}				
$\beta_1$	.0009(.081)[.078]{94.2}	.0013(.081)[.078]{94.1}	.0002(.049)[.050]{96.2}	.0002(.049)[.050]{96.1}				
$\beta_2$	-0.0108(.131)[.123]{92.7}	-0.0110(.130)[.123]{93.0}	-0.0009(.080)[.083]{95.5}	-0.0008(.080)[.083]{95.9}				
$\lambda_1$	-0.0144(.092)[.086]{93.8}	-0.0103(.094)[.086]{93.2}	-0.0011(.052)[.050]{94.0}	.0016(.054)[.050]{93.8}				
$\lambda_2$	.0232(.082)[.083]{95.4}	.0222(.082)[.083]{95.4}	.0024(.036)[.037]{94.8}	.0023(.036)[.037]{94.8}				
$\rho$	-0.0834(.145)[.133]{90.7}	-0.0233(.146)[.133]{91.6}	-0.0767(.092)[.087]{86.0}	-0.0168(.093)[.087]{93.2}				
$\sigma^2$	-0.0318(.162)[.147]{86.5}	-0.0374(.161)[.147]{86.1}	-0.0083(.111)[.108]{92.4}	-0.0137(.110)[.108]{92.2}				
$\gamma$	-0.0276(.407)[- -]{96.4}	-0.0276(.407)[- -]{96.4}	-0.0292(.126)[- -]{97.8}	-0.0292(.126)[- -]{97.8}				
	(c) $(n, T) = (50, 20)$				(d) $(n, T) = (50, 40)$			
$\beta_1$	.0025(.032)[.032]{95.2}	.0025(.032)[.032]{95.4}	-0.0011(.023)[.023]{94.6}	-0.0010(.023)[.023]{94.4}				
$\beta_2$	-0.0061(.053)[.055]{95.3}	-0.0062(.053)[.055]{95.2}	.0006(.038)[.039]{94.7}	.0005(.038)[.039]{94.5}				
$\lambda_1$	-0.0033(.038)[.036]{93.5}	-0.0010(.039)[.036]{93.1}	-0.0032(.027)[.027]{94.3}	-0.0008(.028)[.027]{93.5}				
$\lambda_2$	.0042(.031)[.030]{94.5}	.0043(.030)[.030]{94.6}	.0032(.023)[.023]{95.5}	.0030(.023)[.023]{95.1}				
$\rho$	-0.0686(.063)[.059]{79.2}	-0.0087(.063)[.059]{93.1}	-0.0650(.043)[.041]{66.1}	-0.0052(.043)[.041]{92.6}				
$\sigma^2$	-0.0039(.044)[.047]{96.4}	-0.0093(.044)[.047]{95.2}	.0011(.032)[.033]{94.8}	-0.0044(.032)[.033]{94.3}				
$\gamma$	.0253(.166)[- -]{98.9}	.0253(.166)[- -]{98.9}	-0.0016(.093)[- -]{98.3}	-0.0016(.093)[- -]{98.3}				
$\beta_1$	-0.0033(.032)[.032]{94.9}	-0.0032(.032)[.032]{95.4}	.0000(.023)[.023]{95.2}	.0001(.023)[.023]{95.1}				
$\beta_2$	.0057(.054)[.055]{94.1}	.0056(.054)[.055]{94.2}	.0003(.039)[.038]{94.2}	.0003(.039)[.038]{94.2}				
$\lambda_1$	-0.0037(.036)[.036]{95.2}	-0.0013(.037)[.036]{94.3}	-0.0030(.029)[.027]{93.6}	-0.0007(.030)[.027]{92.8}				
$\lambda_2$	.0027(.031)[.031]{94.8}	.0028(.031)[.031]{94.6}	.0005(.024)[.024]{95.5}	.0004(.024)[.024]{95.1}				
$\rho$	-0.0669(.063)[.059]{79.8}	-0.0070(.064)[.059]{93.0}	-0.0650(.045)[.041]{65.0}	-0.0052(.045)[.041]{93.2}				
$\sigma^2$	-0.0002(.112)[.108]{92.7}	-0.0057(.111)[.108]{92.1}	-0.0018(.077)[.076]{93.0}	-0.0073(.077)[.076]{92.8}				
$\gamma$	.0300(.174)[- -]{96.7}	.0300(.174)[- -]{96.7}	.0055(.097)[- -]{97.7}	.0055(.097)[- -]{97.7}				
$\beta_1$	-0.0022(.034)[.032]{94.4}	-0.0022(.034)[.032]{94.6}	-0.0009(.022)[.023]{95.6}	-0.0008(.022)[.023]{95.4}				
$\beta_2$	.0006(.057)[.055]{94.8}	.0006(.057)[.055]{94.9}	.0008(.038)[.038]{95.2}	.0008(.038)[.038]{95.2}				
$\lambda_1$	-0.0053(.037)[.035]{93.1}	-0.0029(.038)[.035]{92.8}	-0.0021(.027)[.026]{94.8}	.0003(.027)[.026]{94.1}				
$\lambda_2$	.0037(.030)[.030]{94.4}	.0037(.030)[.030]{94.4}	.0012(.023)[.023]{95.2}	.0011(.023)[.023]{95.3}				
$\rho$	-0.0677(.061)[.059]{79.3}	-0.0077(.061)[.059]{93.6}	-0.0659(.043)[.041]{65.0}	-0.0061(.043)[.041]{93.4}				
$\sigma^2$	-0.0038(.082)[.077]{92.6}	-0.0093(.081)[.077]{91.4}	-0.0015(.055)[.055]{93.0}	-0.0069(.055)[.055]{92.9}				
$\gamma$	.0272(.165)[- -]{98.2}	.0272(.165)[- -]{98.2}	.0004(.072)[- -]{98.4}	.0004(.072)[- -]{98.4}				

**Note:** (i) Error distributions: error = 1, 2, 3, for the three panels under each  $(n, T)$ ;

(ii) True parameter values:  $\beta_1 = 1, \lambda_1 = 0.2, \rho = 0.3, \gamma = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$ , and  $\beta_2 = \lambda_2 = (nT)^{-0.2}$ .

**Table C.3 (Cont'd):** Empirical bias( $sd$ )[ $\hat{sd}$ ]{CP} of the estimators for FE-SPR model with threshold effects;  $W_t$ =Queen Contiguity; error = 1(normal), 2(normal mixture), 3(chi-square).

	AQML		bc-AQML		AQML		bc-AQML	
	$(e) (n, T) = (100, 5)$				$(f) (n, T) = (100, 10)$			
$\beta_1$	-0.0045(.046)[.044]{94.6}		-0.0046(.046)[.044]{94.5}		-0.0016(.032)[.032]{95.4}		-0.0016(.032)[.032]{95.3}	
$\beta_2$	.0058(.081)[.081]{94.1}		.0058(.081)[.081]{94.2}		.0021(.054)[.054]{95.0}		.0020(.054)[.054]{94.8}	
$\lambda_1$	-.0058(.053)[.051]{94.5}		-.0052(.053)[.051]{94.5}		-.0050(.042)[.040]{92.9}		-.0043(.043)[.040]{92.4}	
$\lambda_2$	.0094(.049)[.051]{95.5}		.0095(.049)[.051]{95.5}		.0055(.037)[.037]{94.8}		.0055(.037)[.037]{94.8}	
$\rho$	-.0442(.095)[.090]{91.5}		-.0128(.095)[.090]{93.1}		-.0336(.063)[.062]{91.1}		-.0024(.064)[.062]{95.0}	
$\sigma^2$	-.0142(.073)[.070]{92.4}		-.0172(.073)[.070]{91.6}		-.0079(.047)[.047]{94.5}		-.0110(.047)[.047]{93.7}	
$\gamma$	-.0383(.209)[- -]{98.6}		-.0383(.209)[- -]{98.6}		-.0088(.167)[- -]{98.0}		-.0088(.167)[- -]{98.0}	
$\beta_1$	-.0013(.045)[.044]{94.9}		-.0014(.045)[.044]{95.0}		.0001(.033)[.032]{95.1}		.0002(.033)[.032]{94.9}	
$\beta_2$	.0061(.082)[.081]{94.8}		.0063(.082)[.081]{94.8}		-.0026(.056)[.054]{94.5}		-.0027(.056)[.054]{94.4}	
$\lambda_1$	-.0045(.054)[.051]{93.9}		-.0038(.054)[.051]{93.6}		-.0021(.041)[.040]{95.3}		-.0013(.041)[.040]{94.9}	
$\lambda_2$	.0098(.050)[.052]{94.6}		.0099(.050)[.052]{94.5}		.0034(.037)[.038]{96.9}		.0034(.037)[.038]{96.8}	
$\rho$	-.0440(.096)[.091]{91.5}		-.0125(.097)[.091]{93.3}		-.0364(.063)[.062]{92.5}		-.0053(.064)[.062]{94.5}	
$\sigma^2$	-.0139(.155)[.148]{91.3}		-.0169(.155)[.148]{91.0}		-.0079(.107)[.107]{92.6}		-.0110(.106)[.107]{92.5}	
$\gamma$	-.0288(.207)[- -]{96.4}		-.0288(.207)[- -]{96.4}		-.0020(.177)[- -]{96.6}		-.0020(.177)[- -]{96.6}	
$\beta_1$	-.0004(.044)[.044]{94.9}		-.0005(.044)[.044]{94.9}		.0021(.034)[.033]{92.7}		.0021(.034)[.033]{92.7}	
$\beta_2$	.0018(.082)[.081]{95.8}		.0019(.082)[.081]{95.9}		-.0038(.056)[.054]{94.5}		-.0038(.056)[.054]{94.5}	
$\lambda_1$	-.0068(.056)[.051]{91.6}		-.0062(.056)[.051]{91.4}		-.0043(.042)[.040]{92.9}		-.0035(.042)[.040]{92.6}	
$\lambda_2$	.0094(.049)[.051]{96.9}		.0095(.049)[.051]{96.9}		.0028(.036)[.037]{95.4}		.0028(.036)[.037]{95.4}	
$\rho$	-.0415(.097)[.090]{91.6}		-.0101(.097)[.090]{93.6}		-.0365(.063)[.062]{89.9}		-.0054(.063)[.062]{94.7}	
$\sigma^2$	-.0123(.114)[.109]{91.8}		-.0153(.114)[.109]{91.6}		-.0071(.081)[.077]{91.6}		-.0101(.081)[.077]{91.4}	
$\gamma$	-.0232(.213)[- -]{97.1}		-.0232(.213)[- -]{97.1}		.0143(.178)[- -]{97.2}		.0143(.178)[- -]{97.2}	
	$(g) (n, T) = (200, 5)$				$(h) (n, T) = (200, 10)$			
$\beta_1$	-.0014(.036)[.035]{94.5}		-.0014(.036)[.035]{94.5}		-.0018(.023)[.023]{95.4}		-.0018(.023)[.023]{95.3}	
$\beta_2$	.0020(.062)[.061]{94.8}		.0021(.062)[.061]{94.8}		.0018(.039)[.039]{95.2}		.0018(.039)[.039]{95.2}	
$\lambda_1$	-.0026(.042)[.041]{95.1}		-.0024(.042)[.041]{95.1}		-.0027(.028)[.029]{95.9}		-.0025(.028)[.029]{96.0}	
$\lambda_2$	.0031(.034)[.034]{95.5}		.0031(.034)[.034]{95.6}		.0024(.025)[.024]{94.8}		.0024(.025)[.024]{94.8}	
$\rho$	-.0207(.068)[.067]{93.9}		-.0047(.068)[.067]{94.1}		-.0146(.044)[.044]{94.7}		.0013(.044)[.044]{95.7}	
$\sigma^2$	-.0080(.049)[.050]{94.1}		-.0096(.049)[.050]{93.7}		-.0016(.034)[.034]{95.4}		-.0033(.034)[.034]{95.2}	
$\gamma$	.0182(.125)[- -]{97.4}		.0182(.125)[- -]{97.4}		-.0208(.101)[- -]{98.0}		-.0208(.101)[- -]{98.0}	
$\beta_1$	-.0024(.034)[.035]{94.6}		-.0024(.034)[.035]{94.5}		-.0007(.023)[.023]{95.1}		-.0008(.023)[.023]{95.1}	
$\beta_2$	.0030(.058)[.061]{96.0}		.0029(.058)[.061]{96.0}		.0011(.040)[.039]{95.2}		.0011(.040)[.039]{95.1}	
$\lambda_1$	-.0044(.044)[.041]{93.4}		-.0042(.044)[.041]{93.4}		-.0031(.029)[.029]{94.9}		-.0030(.029)[.029]{94.2}	
$\lambda_2$	.0049(.035)[.035]{96.1}		.0049(.035)[.035]{96.1}		.0020(.025)[.025]{96.0}		.0020(.025)[.025]{96.0}	
$\rho$	-.0208(.071)[.067]{93.1}		-.0048(.071)[.067]{93.3}		-.0153(.044)[.045]{94.4}		.0007(.044)[.045]{95.5}	
$\sigma^2$	-.0047(.111)[.108]{93.1}		-.0063(.110)[.108]{92.9}		-.0060(.079)[.076]{92.9}		-.0076(.079)[.076]{92.6}	
$\gamma$	.0206(.140)[- -]{96.7}		.0206(.140)[- -]{96.7}		-.0101(.096)[- -]{97.0}		-.0101(.096)[- -]{97.0}	
$\beta_1$	-.0015(.036)[.035]{94.6}		-.0015(.036)[.035]{94.6}		-.0003(.023)[.023]{94.2}		-.0003(.023)[.023]{94.2}	
$\beta_2$	.0020(.062)[.061]{94.9}		.0020(.062)[.061]{94.9}		.0000(.039)[.039]{95.3}		.0001(.039)[.039]{95.3}	
$\lambda_1$	-.0022(.040)[.041]{94.9}		-.0020(.041)[.041]{94.8}		-.0007(.028)[.028]{95.3}		-.0005(.029)[.028]{94.6}	
$\lambda_2$	.0029(.034)[.034]{95.7}		.0029(.034)[.034]{95.5}		.0028(.024)[.024]{95.7}		.0028(.024)[.024]{95.6}	
$\rho$	-.0216(.068)[.066]{94.6}		-.0057(.068)[.066]{94.0}		-.0188(.045)[.044]{92.4}		-.0029(.045)[.044]{94.9}	
$\sigma^2$	-.0038(.081)[.079]{93.0}		-.0054(.081)[.079]{92.9}		-.0030(.057)[.055]{93.4}		-.0046(.057)[.055]{93.4}	
$\gamma$	.0080(.132)[- -]{98.0}		.0080(.132)[- -]{98.0}		-.0211(.100)[- -]{98.2}		-.0211(.100)[- -]{98.2}	

**Note:** (i) Error distributions: error = 1, 2, 3, for the three panels under each  $(n, T)$ ;

(ii) True parameter values:  $\beta_1 = 1, \lambda_1 = 0.2, \rho = 0.3, \gamma = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$ , and  $\beta_2 = \lambda_2 = (nT)^{-0.2}$ .

## References

- Billingsley, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Chan, K. S. (1993). Consistency and limiting distribution of the least squares estimator of a threshold autoregressive model. *Annals of Statistics* 21, 520–533.
- Hall, P. and C. C. Heyde (1980). *Martingale Limit Theory and Its Applications*. New York: Academic Press.
- Li, K. and W. Lin (2024). Threshold spatial autoregressive model. *Journal of Econometrics* 244, 105841.
- Wei, L., C. Zhang, J. J. Su, and L. Yang (2021). Panel threshold spatial durbin models with individual fixed effects. *Economics Letters* 201, 109778.
- Yang, Z. L. (2015). A general method for third-order bias and variance correction on a nonlinear estimator. *Journal of Econometrics* 186, 178–200.