

Online Supplement to ‘Specification Tests based on MCMC Output’

Yong Li Jun Yu
Renmin University of China *Singapore Management University*

Tao Zeng
Zhejiang University

February 12, 2018

The purpose of this online supplement is to prove Theorem 3.2 in Li, et al (2017), that is, to show under H_0 that \widetilde{BIMT} has the same asymptotic distribution as BIMT and that \widetilde{BMT} has the same asymptotic distribution as BMT. Based on Proposition 3.1, the relationship $\widetilde{BIMT} = \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/2})$ is enough to guarantee that \widetilde{BIMT} and BIMT have the same asymptotic distribution. Based on Theorem 3.1, $\tilde{J}_1 = J_1 + o_p(1)$ and $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0 + o_p(1)$ are enough to guarantee that \widetilde{BMT} and BMT will have the same asymptotic distribution. Therefore, what we try to find are an order condition for M to ensure $\widetilde{BIMT} = \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/2})$ and order conditions for M and M_L to ensure $\tilde{J}_1 = J_1 + o_p(1)$ and $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0 + o_p(1)$. Note that \widetilde{BIMT} and \tilde{J}_0 are based on MCMC output obtained from the null model while \tilde{J}_1 is based on MCMC output obtained from both the null model and the expanded model because $J_1 = \text{tr} \{C_E(\mathbf{y}, (\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) V_E(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L)\}$.

We organize this supplement as follows. In Section 1, we give an order condition for M to ensure that $\widetilde{BIMT} = \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/2})$. In Section 2, we give order conditions for M and M_L to ensure that $\tilde{J}_1 = J_1 + o_p(1)$. In Section 3, we give an order condition for M to ensure that $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0 + o_p(1)$. Section 4 proves Theorem 3.2. Throughout this supplement, the sample size n is assumed to go to infinity.

1 Order Condition for M to Ensure $\widetilde{BIMT} = \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/2})$

Under H_0 , $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = O_p(1)$ and $nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = O_p(1)$. If $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = o_p(n^{-1/2})$ and $n(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) = o_p(n^{-1/2})$, then we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{BIMT} &= n \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} = \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) n \tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} \\ &= \text{tr} \left\{ \left[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \right] \left[n(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) + nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \text{tr} \left\{ \left[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \right] \left[nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \text{tr} \left\{ n \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} + \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) o_p(n^{-1/2}) \right\} + \text{tr} \left\{ nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) o_p(n^{-1/2}) \right\} + o_p(n^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/2}) = \text{IOS}_A + o_p(n^{-1/2}) = q \times \text{IR} + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Together with Proposition 3.1, this will ensure that $\widetilde{\text{BIMT}}$ has the same asymptotic distribution as BIMT. In Section 1.1 we give an order condition for M to ensure $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = o_p(n^{-1/2})$. In Section 1.2 we then give an order condition for M to ensure $n(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) = o_p(n^{-1/2})$.

1.1 Order condition for M to ensure $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = o_p(n^{-1/2})$

Let us first assume $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a scalar. Let σ_{1n}^2 be the long run variance of Markov chain, $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^M$, i.e., $\sigma_{1n}^2 = \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)}|\mathbf{y}) + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(k|\mathbf{y})$ where $\gamma_{1n}(k|\mathbf{y})$ is the k^{th} order autocovariance. Note that $\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)}|\mathbf{y})$ is the posterior variance $V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$. We can rewrite σ_{1n}^2 as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1n}^2 &= \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)}|\mathbf{y}) + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{1n}(k|\mathbf{y}) = 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1n}(k|\mathbf{y}) - \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)}|\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \left(2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{1n}(k|\mathbf{y})}{\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)}|\mathbf{y})} - 1\right) \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)}|\mathbf{y}) = \left(2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho(k) - 1\right) \text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)}|\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

According to Jones (2004), under Assumption 13, as $M \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\sqrt{M}\sigma_{1n}^{-1}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1). \quad (1)$$

By the Taylor expansion and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n [s_t(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4)(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4)(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) s_t(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n [h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4)(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]^2 \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\sigma_{1n}\right) \frac{2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4) s_t(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\sigma_{1n}^2\right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4)^2 \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\sigma_{1n}\right) O_p(1) + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\sigma_{1n}^2\right) O_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4$ lies between $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\frac{2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4) s_t(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = O_p(1)$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_4)^2 = O_p(1)$ by Assumptions 10-12.

To show

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}), \quad (2)$$

it is enough to have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\sigma_{1n} = o_p(n^{-1/2}), \quad (3)$$

which is equivalent to

$$M = O\left(n^{1+c_1^*}\sigma_{1n}^2\right), \text{ for any } c_1^* > 0. \quad (4)$$

The condition (3) is also used in Chen, Gao and Phillips (2017) to obtain the asymptotic normality of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ when $M \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a q -dimensional vector. That is why we require

$$M = n^{1+c_1^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}, \quad (5)$$

with $\sigma_{1n}^{2*} = \max_{a \in \{1, \dots, q\}} \sigma_{1n,a}^2$ and $\sigma_{1n,a}^2$ being the long run variance of $\left\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_a^{(m)}\right\}_{m=1}^M$ for $a = 1, \dots, q$.

1.2 Order condition for M to ensure $n \left[\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] = o_p(n^{-1/2})$

Again, let us first assume $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a scalar. Let $\sigma_{2n}^2 = \text{Var}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(1)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 \mid \mathbf{y}\right) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma'_{2n}(k \mid \mathbf{y})$ be the long run variance of $\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2\right\}_{m=1}^M$ where $\gamma'_{2n}(k \mid \mathbf{y})$ is the k^{th} order autocovariance of $\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right) + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{M} \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 - \sqrt{M} V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \sqrt{M} \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 \\ &= \sqrt{M} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) - \sqrt{M} \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{M}\sigma_{2n}^{-1} \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) = \sqrt{M}\sigma_{2n}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) - \sqrt{M}\sigma_{2n}^{-1} \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2.$$

By (1), $\sqrt{M}\sigma_{2n}^{-1} \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$\sqrt{M}\sigma_{2n}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n^{(m)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)^2 - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1), \quad (6)$$

by the central limit theorem for Markov chains (Jones, 2004) under Assumption 13. Hence, we have

$$\sqrt{M}\sigma_{2n}^{-1} \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1),$$

by the Slutsky Theorem. It can be shown that

$$n \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{M}}\sigma_{2n} \left[\sqrt{M}\sigma_{2n}^{-1} \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) \right] = o_p(n^{-1/2}), \quad (7)$$

if

$$\frac{n}{\sqrt{M}}\sigma_{2n} = o(n^{-1/2}), \quad (8)$$

which is equivalent to

$$M = O\left(n^{3+c_2^*}\sigma_{2n}^2\right), \text{ for any } c_2^* > 0. \quad (9)$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is q -dimensional, we require

$$M = n^{3+c_2^*}\sigma_{2n}^{2*}, \quad (10)$$

with $\sigma_{2n}^{2*} = \max_{b \in \{1, \dots, r\}} \sigma_{2n,b}^2$ and $\sigma_{2n,b}^2$ being the long run variance of $\left\{ \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_b^{(m)} \right\}_{m=1}^M$ for $b = 1, \dots, r$, where $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} = \text{vech} \left[(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \right]$.

2 Order Conditions for M and M_L to Ensure $\tilde{J}_1 - J_1 = o_p(1)$

Following Li, et al (2015), $\frac{1}{n}C(\mathbf{y}, (\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) = O_p(1)$ and $nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) = O_p(1)$. If

$$\frac{1}{n} \left[C(\mathbf{y}, (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) - C(\mathbf{y}, (\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) \right] = o_p(1), \quad (11)$$

and

$$n \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) \right) = o_p(1), \quad (12)$$

we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_1 &= \mathbf{tr} \left\{ C_E(\mathbf{y}, (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) \tilde{V}_E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} C_E(\mathbf{y}, (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) n \tilde{V}_E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{tr} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{n} C_E(\mathbf{y}, (\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) + o_p(1) \right] \left[n V_E(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) + o_p(1) \right] \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{tr} \left\{ C_E(\mathbf{y}, (\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0)) V_E(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) \right\} + o_p(1) \\ &= J_1 + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\widetilde{BMT} = BMT + o_p(1)$, we need to obtain an order condition for M in the original model to ensure (11) and an order condition for M_L in the expanded model to ensure (12).

2.1 Order condition for M to ensure $\frac{1}{n} \left[C \left(\mathbf{y}, \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \right) - C \left(\mathbf{y}, \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \right) \right] = o_p(1)$

Let us first assume $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a scalar. By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} C \left(\mathbf{y}, \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right)' \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left[s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) + h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right] \times \\
& \quad \sum_{t=1}^n \left[s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) + h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right]' \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \left[s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) + h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right] \times \\
& \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \left[s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) + h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right]' \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \right)' \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right)' \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \right)' \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right)',
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5$ lies between $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$. Under the null hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right)' \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n s_t \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \left(\sqrt{M} \sigma_{1n}^{-1} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right) \right) \right)' \\
&= O_p(1) O_p(1) O \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \right) O_p(1) = O_p \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0 \right) \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0} \right)' \right)'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0) \sqrt{n} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0}) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0) \sqrt{n} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0}) \right)' \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \left(\sqrt{M} \sigma_{1n}^{-1} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0}) \right) \times \\
&\quad \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n h_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_5, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \left(\sqrt{M} \sigma_{1n}^{-1} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{0}) \right) \right)' \\
&= O_p(1) O \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \right) O_p(1) O_p(1) O \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \right) O_p(1) = O_p \left(\frac{n}{M} \sigma_{1n}^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $O \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n} \right) = o(1)$, that is,

$$M = O(n^{1+c_3^*} \sigma_{1n}^2), \text{ for any } c_3^* > 0, \quad (13)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{n} C_E \left(\mathbf{y}, (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0) \right) = \frac{1}{n} C_E \left(\mathbf{y}, (\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0) \right) + o_p(1).$$

Again, since $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is q -dimensional, we set

$$M = n^{1+c_3^*} \sigma_{1n}^{2*}, \text{ for any } c_3^* > 0. \quad (14)$$

2.2 Order condition for M_L to ensure $n \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) \right) = o_p(1)$

Since $\left\{ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{Ln}^{(m)} \right\}_{m=1}^{M_L}$ is a geometrically ergodic Markov chain with stationary distribution as the posterior distribution of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_L$, the MCMC estimators of posterior mean $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L$ and posterior variance $V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L)$ can be given by

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L = \frac{1}{M_L} \sum_{m=1}^{M_L} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{Ln}^{(m)}, \quad \tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) = \frac{1}{M_L} \sum_{m=1}^{M_L} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{Ln}^{(m)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L \right) \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{Ln}^{(m)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L \right)'$$

Let $\sigma_{Ln,b}^2$ be the long run variance of $\left\{ \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{Ln,b}^{(m)} \right\}_{m=1}^{M_L}$ for $b = 1, 2, \dots, r_L$ where $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_L = \text{vech} \left[(\boldsymbol{\theta}_L - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) (\boldsymbol{\theta}_L - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L)' \right]$. If we choose

$$M_L = n^{2+c_5^*} \sigma_{Ln}^{2*}, \text{ for any } c_5^* > 0, \quad (15)$$

with $\sigma_{Ln}^{2*} = \max_{b \in \{1, 2, \dots, q_L(q_L+1)/2\}} \sigma_{Ln,b}^2$, then using the same proof as in Section 1.2, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
n \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) \right) &= o_p(n^{-1/2}), \\
n \left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) \right) &= o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

3 Order Condition for M to Ensure $\tilde{J}_0 - J_0 = o_p(1)$

$\widetilde{BIMT} = \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/4})$ is a sufficient condition to ensure $\tilde{J}_0 - J_0 = o_p(1)$. This is because if $\widetilde{BIMT} = \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/4})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_0 &= \sqrt{n} \left(\widetilde{BIMT}/q - 1 \right)^2 \\ &= \sqrt{n} \left(\text{BIMT}/q + o_p(n^{-1/4})/q - 1 \right)^2 \\ &= \sqrt{n}(\text{BIMT}/q - 1)^2 + \sqrt{n}o_p(n^{-1/2}) - 2\sqrt{n}(\text{BIMT}/q - 1)o_p(n^{-1/4})/q \\ &= J_0 + o_p(1) + o_p(n^{-1/4}) = J_0 + o_p(1).\end{aligned}$$

In Section 1, we have shown that under the null hypothesis, $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = O_p(1)$ and $nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = O_p(1)$. If $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = o_p(n^{-1/4})$ and $n(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) = o_p(n^{-1/4})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{BIMT} &= n \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} = \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) n \tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} \\ &= \text{tr} \left\{ \left[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/4}) \right] \left[n(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) + nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \text{tr} \left\{ \left[\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/4}) \right] \left[nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/4}) \right] \right\} \\ &= \text{tr} \left\{ n \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} + \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) o_p(n^{-1/4}) \right\} + \text{tr} \left\{ nV(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) o_p(n^{-1/4}) \right\} + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \\ &= \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/4}) O_p(1) + o_p(n^{-1/4}) O_p(1) + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \\ &= \text{BIMT} + o_p(n^{-1/4}).\end{aligned}$$

According to (8) and (9), to ensure $n[\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})] = o_p(n^{-1/4})$, we only need

$$\frac{n}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{2n}^* = o(n^{-1/4}), \quad (16)$$

which is equivalent to

$$M = O\left(n^{2.5+c_4^*} \sigma_{2n}^{2*}\right), \text{ for any } c_4^* > 0. \quad (17)$$

This order condition is weaker than that specified in (10).

Furthermore, according to (3) and (4), to ensure $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \hat{\mathbf{J}}_n(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + o_p(n^{-1/4})$, we only need

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sigma_{1n}^* = o(n^{-1/4}), \quad (18)$$

which is equivalent to

$$M = O\left(n^{0.5+c_6^*} \sigma_{1n}^{2*}\right), \text{ for any } c_6^* > 0. \quad (19)$$

This order condition is weaker than that specified in (5).

4 Proof of Theorem 3.2

Combining the order conditions given by (5) and (10) provides the order condition for M_{BIMT} given by Equation (8) in Theorem 3.2 when BIMT is used.

Regarding BMT, according Section 2, if $M = n^{1+c_3^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}$ in the original model, then

$$\frac{1}{n}C_E\left(\mathbf{y}, \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0\right)\right) = \frac{1}{n}C_E\left(\mathbf{y}, \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_E = 0\right)\right) + o_p(1).$$

If $M_L = n^{2+c_5^*}\sigma_{Ln}^{2*}$ in the expanded model, then

$$n\left(\tilde{V}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L) - V(\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_L)\right) = o_p(1).$$

Under these two order conditions (one for M and one for M_L) we have $\tilde{J}_1 = J_1 + o_p(1)$.

According to Section 3, if $M = \max\{n^{0.5+c_6^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}, n^{2.5+c_4^*}\sigma_{2n}^{2*}\}$, we have $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0 + o_p(1)$. From Section 2, if $M = n^{1+c_3^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}$ and $M_L = n^{2+c_5^*}\sigma_{Ln}^{2*}$, we have $\tilde{J}_1 = J_1 + o_p(1)$. Hence, if we set the number of MCMC draws to

$$M_{BMT} = \max\left\{n^{0.5+c_6^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}, n^{1+c_3^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}, n^{2.5+c_4^*}\sigma_{2n}^{2*}\right\} = \max\left\{n^{1+c_3^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}, n^{2.5+c_4^*}\sigma_{2n}^{2*}\right\}$$

in the original model and to

$$M_L = n^{2+c_5^*}\sigma_{Ln}^{2*}$$

in the expanded model, then we have

$$\widetilde{BMT} = \tilde{J}_1 + \tilde{J}_0 = BMT + o_p(1).$$

This proves the asymptotic equivalence of \widetilde{BMT} and BMT. Furthermore, under H_0 , \widetilde{BMT} converges to $\chi^2(q_E)$.

To derive the power property of \widetilde{BMT} , note that $\widetilde{BIMT} = BIMT + o_p(n^{-1/4})$ also holds true for misspecified models when $M = \max\{n^{1+c_3^*}\sigma_{1n}^{2*}, n^{2.5+c_4^*}\sigma_{2n}^{2*}\}$. In addition, when the model is misspecified so that $q^* \neq q$, from Theorem 3.1, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_0 &= \sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{BIMT}/q - 1\right)^2 \\ &= \sqrt{n}\left(BIMT/q + o_p\left(n^{-1/4}\right)/q - 1\right)^2 \\ &= \sqrt{n}(BIMT/q - 1)^2 + \sqrt{n}o_p\left(n^{-1/2}\right) - 2\sqrt{n}(BIMT/q - 1)o_p\left(n^{-1/4}\right)/q \\ &= J_0 + o_p(1) + o_p\left(n^{-1/4}\right) = J_0 + o_p(1) = O_p(\sqrt{n}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the order of the power of \widetilde{BMT} is no less than $O_p(\sqrt{n})$. This completes the proofs of Theorem 3.2.

5 Reference

1. Cheng, T., Gao, J., and Phillips, P.C.B. (2017). Bayesian estimation based on summary statistics: double asymptotics and practice. Working Paper.

2. Jones, G. L. (2004). On the Markov chain central limit theorem. *Probability Surveys*, **1**, 299-320, 5-1.
3. Li, Y., Liu, X. B., and Yu, J. (2015). A Bayesian chi-squared test for hypothesis testing. *Journal of Econometrics*, **189(1)**, 54-69.