



kb-Anonymity: A Model for Anonymized Behavior-Preserving Test and Debugging Data



Aditya Budi, David Lo, Lingxiao Jiang, Lucia

Software Testing & Debugging

- Programs may fail
 - In-house during development process
 - Post-deployment in user fields





Where Come Inputs for Testing & Debugging?

In-house generation



School of Information Systems



Where Come Inputs for Testing & Debugging?

From clients







However, Privacy!

From clients



Privacy Concerns!





Sample Privacy Leak

Linking attack

Patient Records (private)

Voter Registration List (public)

Gender	Zipcode		DOB	Disease		Name	DOB	Gender	Zipcode
Male	95110	e	6/7/72	Heart Disease] [Bob	6/7/72	Male	95110
Female	95110	1	/31/80	Hepatitis		Beth	1/31/80	Female	95110
Bob has heart disease									

Sample Privacy Leak

Linki	ng at	tack	Qua	si-identifi fields	er			
Patient R	Records (p	rivate)			Voter Re	egistration	List (public	
Gender	Zipcode	DOB	Disease		Name	DOB	Gender	Zipcode
Male	95110	6/7/72	Heart Disease] [Bob	6/7/72	Male	95110
Female	95110	1/31/80	Hepatitis		Beth	1/31/80	Female	95110
			Rob b					

Gender	Zipcode	DOB	Disease
Male	*	*	Heart Disease
Female	*	*	Hepatitis

Ē **Data Anonymization**

From clients



Monthly Ser

Data Anonymization Questions

What to anonymize?

Patient Records (private)

Sex	Zipcode	DOB	Disease
Male	95110	6/7/72	Heart Disease
Female	95110	1/31/80	Hepatitis

Sex Zipcode DOB Disease

School of Information Systems

Data Anonymization Questions

- What to anonymize?
- How to anonymize?

Patient Records (private)

Sex	Zipcode	DOB	Disease
Male	95110	6/7/72	Heart Disease
Female	95110	1/31/80	Hepatitis

Sex	"Unknown	"	
Zipcode	Masking	ing 95***, 1972	
DOB	Generic	USA	CA, USA
Disease	Ochene	San .	Jose
	Random		



Data Anonymization Questions

- What to anonymize?
- How to anonymize?
- How useful is the anonymized data for testing and debugging?

Patient Records (private)

Sex	Zipcode	DOB	Disease	
Male	95110	6/7/72	Heart Disease	
Female	95110	1/31/80	Hepatitis	

Sex	"Unknown	"	
Zipcode	Masking	וg 95***, 1972	
DOB	Generic	USA	CA, USA
Disease		San	Jose
	Random		

₩ SMU

Our Solution

- *kb*-Anonymity: A model that provides guidance on the anonymization questions
 - How to anonymize
 - Follow guidance provided by the *k*-anonymity privacy model
 - Each tuple has at least k-1 indistinguishable peers
 - . Generate concrete values always
 - Remove indistinguishable tuples
 - How useful is the anonymized data
 - Preserve utility for testing and debugging
 - Each anonymized tuple exhibits certain kinds of behavior exhibited by original tuples

💱 SMU



School of Information Systems

kb-Anonymity

Behavior preservation



kb-Anonymity

Privacy preservation



kb-Anonymity

Behavior and Privacy preservation



School of Informat SMU

kb-Anonymity - Another View

 Anonymization function (i.e., value replacement function) F: R → R



- Each original tuple is mapped by F to at most one released tuple
- At least k original tuples are mapped to the same released tuple

💱 SMU

 Dynamic symbolic (a.k.a. concolic) execution with controlled constraint generation and solving





Privacy & Behavior Preserving Tuples t₁^r=<f₁,...,f₁^r,...f_n>



 Dynamic symbolic (a.k.a. concolic) execution with controlled constraint generation and solving



 Dynamic symbolic (a.k.a. concolic) execution with controlled constraint generation and solving



 Dynamic symbolic (a.k.a. concolic) execution with controlled constraint generation and solving



School of Information Systems 😵 SMU

Empirical Evaluation

- On slices of open source programs
 - OpenHospital, iTrust, PDManager
 - From sourceforge
 - Modified to deal with integers only
 - Randomly generated test data for anonymization

💱 SMU

Empirical Evaluation - Utility

16 fields: first name, last name, age, gender, address, city, number of siblings, telephone number, birth date, blood type, mother's name, mother's deceased status, father's name, father's deceased status, insurance status, and whether parents live together.

No	Raw Data Point	Released Tuple
1	(90207, 10125, 2, -1, 16261, 22549, 69883,	⟨-9999 , 10000, 0,
	914, 8201, -2, 68353, -1, -53, -1, -1, -2	-10000, 16261,
2	(19892, 16536, 78, 1, 36688, 88797, 172,	22549, 69883, 914,
	7519, 50896, -1, 44500, 1, 7452, -2, -1, 1	8201, -2, 68353, -1,
3	(35778, 21908, 89, -1, 89965, 41493, 35861,	-53, -1, -1, -2>
	50182, 79181, 1, 30668, -1, 34926, -2, -1, 1 >	
4	(9543, 23693, 48, 1, 18133, 75043, -173,	
	38100, 14912, 1, 69504, 0, 14969, -1, -2, 1	
5	(42164, 40607, -6, 1, 46920, 21328, 15089,	Error Message
	42147, 81975, 1, 24382, -2, -252, -2, -1, -1	

SMU

Empirical Evaluation - Scalability

Running time is proportional to the size of the original data set, and almost constant per tuple.



x-axis: different configurations; y-axis: running time in seconds; Different colors represent the sizes of different original data sets

School of Information Syste 🔮 SMU

Limitations

- Selection of quasi-identifiers
 - Reply on data owners to choose appropriate QIs
- Assume each tuple is used independently from other tuples by a program
- Data distortion
 - Do not maintain data statistics, and thus not suitable for data mining or epidemiological studies
- Integer constraints only
 - May handle string constraints based on JPF+jFuzz

🔮 SMU

Future Work

Model Refinement

- Various definitions of behavior preservation
- Various privacy models



School o

SMU SMU

Related Work

On concolic execution

- S. Anand, C. Pasareanu, andW. Visser. JPF-SE: A symbolic execution extenion to Java PathFinder. In TACAS, 2007.
- C. Cadar, D. Dunbar, and D. R. Engler. KLEE: Unassisted and automatic generation of high-coverage tests for complex systems programs. In OSDI, pages 209–224, 2008.
- P. Godefroid, N. Klarlund, and K. Sen. DART: Directed automated random testing. In PLDI, pages 213–223. ACM, 2005.
- K. Jayaraman, D. Harvison, V. Ganesh, and A. Kiezun. jFuzz: A concolic tester for NASA Java. In NASA Formal Methods Workshop, 2009.
- K. Sen, D. Marinov, and G. Agha. CUTE: A concolic unit testing engine for C. In FSE, pages 263–272, 2005.

💱 SMU

Related Work

On privacy-preserving testing & debugging

- Pete Broadwell, Matt Harren, and Naveen Sastry. Scrash: A system for generating secure crash information. In USENIX Security 2003.
- Miguel Castro, Manuel Costa, and Jean-Philippe Martin. Better Bug Reporting With Better Privacy. In ASPLOS 2008
- James Clause and Alessandro Orso. Camouflage: Automated Anonymization of Field Data. In ICSE 2011.
- Mark Grechanik, Christoph Csallner, Chen Fu, and Qing Xie. Is Data Privacy Always Good For Software Testing? In ISSRE 2010.
- Rui Wang, Xiaofeng Wang, and Zhuowei Li. Panalyst: Privacy-aware remote error analysis on commodity software. In USENIX Security 2008.

💱 SMU

Related Work

On privacy-preserving testing & debugging



🔮 SMU

Conclusion

kb-Anonymity: A model that guides data anonymization for software testing and debugging purposes.



😵 SMU

School of Information Systems



Thank you!

Questions? {adityabudi, davidlo, lxjiang, lucia.2009}@smu.edu.sg